
BULLETIN

of the

Chicago Herpetological Society



Volume 57, Number 7
July 2022



BULLETIN OF THE CHICAGO HERPETOLOGICAL SOCIETY

Volume 57, Number 7

July 2022

Book Review: <i>Field Guide to Amphibians and Reptiles of Illinois</i> , Second Edition, by Christopher A. Phillips, John A. Crawford and Andrew R. Kuhns	John G. Palis	125
Portrait of a Herpetologist as a Middle-aged Man—Chapter 6: History of the Dallas Zoo Department of Herpetology (1965–2005) and Comments on the Shrinking Importance of Zoo Herpetology	James B. Murphy	128
Keeping Track of the Neighbors (Part Two)	John J. Cebula	141
New CHS Members This Month		144
Advertisements		144

Cover: Juvenile red-bellied mudsnake, *Farancia abacura*, Johnson County, Illinois. Photograph by Mary Boehler.

STAFF

Editor: Michael A. Dloogatch—madadder0@aol.com
Copy editor: Joan Moore

2022 CHS Board of Directors

President: John Archer
Vice-president: Rachel Bladow
Treasurer: Rich Crowley
Recording Secretary: Gail Oomens
Media Secretary: Stephanie Dochterman
Membership Secretary: Mike Dloogatch
Sergeant-at-arms: Tom Mikosz
Members-at-large: Kyle Houlihan
Margaret Ann Paauw
Amelia Pollock

The Chicago Herpetological Society is a nonprofit organization incorporated under the laws of the state of Illinois. Its purposes are education, conservation and the advancement of herpetology. Meetings are announced in this publication, and are normally held at 7:30 P.M., the last Wednesday of each month.

Membership in the CHS includes a subscription to the monthly *Bulletin*. Annual dues are: Individual Membership, \$25.00; Family Membership, \$28.00; Sustaining Membership, \$50.00; Contributing Membership, \$100.00; Institutional Membership, \$38.00. Remittance must be made in U.S. funds. Subscribers outside the U.S. must add \$12.00 for postage. Send membership dues or address changes to: Chicago Herpetological Society, Membership Secretary, 2430 N. Cannon Drive, Chicago, IL 60614.

Manuscripts published in the *Bulletin of the Chicago Herpetological Society* are not peer reviewed. Manuscripts and letters concerning editorial business should be e-mailed to the editor, mdloogatch@chicagoherp.org. Alternatively, they may be mailed to: Chicago Herpetological Society, Publications Secretary, 2430 N. Cannon Drive, Chicago, IL 60614. **Back issues** are limited but are available from the Publications Secretary for \$2.50 per issue postpaid.

Visit the CHS home page at [<http://www.chicagoherp.org>](http://www.chicagoherp.org).

The Bulletin of the Chicago Herpetological Society (ISSN 0009-3564) is published monthly by the Chicago Herpetological Society, 2430 N. Cannon Drive, Chicago IL 60614. Periodicals postage paid at Chicago IL. **Postmaster:** Send address changes to: Chicago Herpetological Society, Membership Secretary, 2430 N. Cannon Drive, Chicago IL 60614.

**Book Review: *Field Guide to Amphibians and Reptiles of Illinois*, Second Edition
by Christopher A. Phillips, John A. Crawford and Andrew R. Kuhns
2022. 304 pp. University of Illinois Press, Urbana.
Softcover \$24.95 ISBN 978-0-252-08634-2 / eBook \$14.95 ISBN 978-0-252-05325-2**


**John G. Palis
P.O. Box 387
Jonesboro, IL 62952
jpalis@yahoo.com**

In keeping with our increasingly fast-paced, ever-changing world brought on by digital technology, the citizens of Illinois now have a new, updated guide with which to identify and learn about amphibians and reptiles occurring within the boundaries of our state. This new release comes 23 years after the first edition of *Field Guide to Amphibians and Reptiles of Illinois* was published in 1999. Has enough changed in two decades to justify a second edition? Let's find out.

Physically, the *Guide* has gone from hardcover to softcover (or eBook), numbered pages have dropped from 282 to 275, and the font has changed. Page dimensions, however, remain the same. The organization of the second edition is identical to that of the first and includes the following headings: Foreword, Acknowledgments, Introduction, How to Use This Book, Glossary, Key to Amphibians and Reptiles of Illinois, Species Accounts, Species of Questionable Occurrence in Illinois, Additional Reading, and Index. Apart from first author, Christopher Phillips, authorship has also changed. First edition coauthors included Ronald Brandon and Don Moll; they have been replaced by John Crawford and Andrew Kuhns. Christopher Phillips is a principal research scientist and curator of amphibians and reptiles at the Illinois Natural History Survey, John Crawford is a terrestrial wildlife ecologist at the National Great Rivers Research and Education Center, and Andrew Kuhns is an associate survey scientist-herpetologist at the Illinois Natural History Survey.

The Foreword of the second edition of the *Guide* is written by Ronald Brandon, second author of the first edition. His contribution to the first edition—including retention of some of his original text—is mentioned in the Acknowledgments. The introductory text has changed little between editions. In both editions Figure 1 is a map of the Natural Divisions of Illinois. I much prefer the version that appeared in the first edition over the second. In the first edition, this map fills an entire page and the colors denoting different divisions are light and do not obscure county lines. In the second edition, the map is considerably smaller and the darker colors obscure county lines in several parts of the state. The counties are labeled by name on the map in the first edition, but not in the second edition. Given that specific counties are sometimes mentioned by name in the species accounts, the inclusion of a map labeling Illinois counties would have been helpful.

Additional text has been added to the Conservation section detailing newly recognized threats to Illinois amphibians and



reptiles such as chytrid fungus, ranavirus, and Snake Fungal Disease. Five species including Mudpuppy, Eastern Narrow-mouthed Toad, Ornate Box Turtle, Smooth Softshell Turtle, and Lined Snake have been added to the list of Illinois threatened and endangered species since the first edition, bringing the total to 27 species (26.5% of all herpetofaunal species in the state). The only species listed at both the state and federal level is the Eastern Massasauga. I especially appreciate the addition of text instructing the herp enthusiast to return displaced cover objects to their original position.

The final section of the Introduction in the first edition, "Declining Amphibian Populations," has been replaced with "Citizen Science and Amphibians and Reptiles" in the second edition. Here, the authors familiarize the reader with two smartphone Apps (iNaturalist and HerpMapper) where members of the public can upload images and have their photographs identified. Biologists can use uploaded georeferenced images to better understand species' distribution patterns.

In "How to Use This Book," the purpose of the *Guide* is provided: "This guide is intended to aid . . . in the identification of amphibians and reptiles found in Illinois. It is meant to be used in the field." The authors explain that "identification can be achieved either by using the traditional dichotomous keys . . . or by using the color photographs and Key Characteristics section included in each species account." They suggest that "the dichotomous keys are the most effective way to identify an animal, but some readers unfamiliar with keys may find the photographs a more user-friendly starting point." The dichotomous keys have been improved by emphasizing species names using bold text. I did notice two errors in the keys: 1) "pots" has replaced "spots" in couplet 14 where Spotted Salamanders are distinguished from Tiger Salamanders and 2) "neck without black collar" is still used to describe Six-lined Racerunner even though that character is no longer needed since Collared Lizards have been deleted from the key.

With few exceptions, the color photographs of representative specimens will suffice to identify species. Some images, such as Spotted Dusky Salamander, Alligator Snapping Turtle, and Ground Skink are an improvement over the first edition of the *Guide*. Others, however, are not. I have looked at hundreds of Small-mouthed Salamanders over the years, but I would be hard-pressed to identify the Small-mouthed Salamander in the image used in the species account. The photograph of a Small-

mouthed Salamander on page 32 would have been a better choice. The decision to feature a dirty Graham's Crayfish Snake as a representative of the species is puzzling considering that there are far better images of Illinois specimens available (e.g., Anton, 2019). I have never seen a DeKay's Brownsnake that looks quite like the featured image. The secondary image of the species is, however, representative. Blanchard's Cricket Frogs are often described as brown, tan, or olive-brown (Smith, 1961), including in both editions of the *Guide*. The use of an anomalous, green-colored Blanchard's Cricket Frog to represent the species is unfortunate as it may lead to confusion. Aquatic salamanders (Hellbender, Mudpuppy and Lesser Siren) would have been better illustrated if photographed in water. The inclusion of images of juveniles for species where juveniles look radically different from adults (e.g., North American Racer, Plain-bellied Watersnake, Gray Ratsnake) is an improvement over the first edition.

Next, the authors describe the species accounts. They explain that they follow updated nomenclature adopted by the Society for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles (SSAR) with few exceptions. The most significant departure from the SSAR list is their usage of the genus *Rana* instead of *Lithobates* for True Frogs. I am personally gratified with this decision since the latest word on the subject stipulates that *Rana* is the appropriate moniker (Yuan et al., 2016). In another departure from SSAR, the authors have not accepted the separation of Speckled Kingsnakes and Black Kingsnakes into separate species and, instead, continue to recognize them as subspecies of *Lampropeltis getula*.

Each species account includes a range map (Illinois only), a brief description of habitat and distribution, a brief description of conservation status, a list of key characteristics, names of similar-looking species, names of subspecies (where applicable), a detailed physical description, and a brief account of the species' natural history. These accounts are very similar to those in the first edition. The principal change has been to the range maps. The now much smaller maps are presented in the upper left of the first page of the two-page species accounts. In addition, the maps are simpler. The complex, county-level, multi-colored maps of the first edition have been replaced in the second edition with simple, gray-and-white maps created "by shading the area encompassed by known location records." The range maps are now more like those in Smith (1961) except that they lack location dots.

I like the new maps. Riverine species, such as Mudpuppies and Map Turtles (among others), are mapped linearly, parallel to inhabited portions of stream channels (the notable exception is the Hellbender, the range of which is not indicated along the Wabash and Ohio Rivers). Also appealing is the shading of specific portions of counties for species that do not occur countywide.

Mapping convention, however, is inconsistent among species accounts. For example, the map for the Eastern Massasauga includes all historically occupied portions of the state even though the distribution text states that it is "currently found only in the vicinity of Carlyle Lake in Clinton County." In the case of the Wood Frog, however, disjunct historical records in the northwestern portion of the state are mentioned, but not mapped.

For species having two or more subspecies within the boundaries of the state, some maps distinguish the range of each subspecies (e.g., Painted Turtle), but others do not (e.g., North American Racer).

Some range maps have small dots indicating isolated records. These can be difficult to see on the small maps. Arrows pointing to these locations would have been helpful. I noticed several mapping discrepancies between the first and second editions of the *Guide*. For example, in the first edition, the Slender Glass Lizard is mapped in Union and Perry Counties based on vouchered specimens. In the second edition, these counties are not among those mapped as in the range of Slender Glass Lizards. Why not? There are other cases of species no longer mapped in counties for which vouchered specimens exist, per the first edition of the *Guide*.

Due to the difficulty of distinguishing Eastern Gray Treefrogs from Cope's Gray Treefrogs, the map in the first edition of the *Guide* was a composite of both species' ranges. In the second edition, however, the authors admirably attempt to separately map the range of each species within the state. There are two relatively large portions of the map that are shaded: 1) southeast and east of the Kaskaskia River to the Indiana state line, and 2) north-central Illinois between the Fox and Rock Rivers along with two isolated dots in northwestern Illinois. The rest of the map is unshaded. Regrettably, the text explaining the shaded and unshaded areas was inadvertently omitted. The shaded portion of the map depicts the range of Cope's Gray Treefrogs and the unshaded portion represents the range of Eastern Gray Treefrogs. Areas of sympatry occur throughout but are not depicted (A. Kuhns, personal communication).

The attempt by the authors to separately map the range of all three species comprising the Trilling Chorus Frog complex is greatly appreciated. Clearly, additional work is needed to better elucidate the range of these three species in Illinois. However, I think it would have been better to label the gradations in shading that represent each species corresponding to their mapped distribution, rather than alphabetically. In other words, it would have better served the reader to have placed *Pseudacris maculata* at the top of the list in line with its more northerly distribution in the state and *Pseudacris feriarum* at the bottom of the list corresponding to its southerly distribution.

Another thing I like about the maps is the associated text. I find inclusion of dates of last observation of certain species particularly enlightening. For example, the last confirmed observation of the Hellbender in Illinois was 1989, Coachwhips have not been confirmed in Illinois since 1974, and all Illinois Southern Watersnake specimens were collected prior to 1952 (70 years ago!)

Sometimes the map and associated text do not align. For example, the distribution text for Red-backed Salamanders states, "reaches western edge of its range in the forests of eastern Illinois and the Chicago region." The map, however, includes a small, shaded area in northwestern Union County, on the west side of the state. This is almost certainly in error given the similarities of Red-backed Salamanders and Zigzag Salamanders (which inhabit southwestern Illinois). Another example is the Gophersnake. The range map includes an isolated, elliptical area ex-

tending eastward from Jackson County through Williamson County to Saline County. Historically, this portion of southernmost Illinois included post oak savanna and prairie so the presence of Gophersnakes seems plausible even though none of these counties were included in the map for Gophersnakes by Smith (1961) or in the first edition of the *Guide*. Despite the shading of these three southern Illinois counties in the second edition, the text states that the Gophersnake is “absent from the southern half of Illinois except for the sandy areas of Madison County.” With regard to the Red-backed Salamander and the Gophersnake, the reader is left to wonder which is correct, the text or the map?

Despite removing the Scarlet Snake from the Illinois list of herpetofauna, the number of native amphibian and reptile species inhabiting Illinois has not changed between the first and second editions of the *Guide*: 102. How can this be? It is because the Boreal Chorus Frog was added to the Illinois fauna based on the work of Lemmon et al. (2007). The removal of the Scarlet Snake likely will be controversial for some. However, its inclusion in the state’s herpetofauna has always been problematic because it was based on a single specimen discovered under questionable circumstances (Bennett, 1953; Morris et al., 1983).

I was anxious to see how the authors dealt with other questionable species, such as the Northern Dusky Salamander. As the authors mention in the Spotted Dusky Salamander account, Northern Dusky Salamanders (which are not native to Illinois) have a population in Johnson County. Given that the population has been established at this location since 1986, it seems like it would have warranted its own paragraph in the Species of Questionable Occurrence in Illinois section. I would also like to have seen the Mediterranean Gecko and the Collared Lizard included in the Questionable species section. Mediterranean Geckos have established reproducing colonies in Carbondale and Marion (McDowell et al., 2006; Whiles et al., 2013). The existence of this species in Illinois is mentioned only briefly in the introductory text to the lizard section, and the Marion (Williamson County) population is not acknowledged. Despite

being featured in the first edition of the *Guide* with its own account, the Collared Lizard, too, is only briefly mentioned in the lizard introductory text of the second edition (where it is incorrectly assigned to Jackson County).

As was the case with the first edition, lengths of animal are given in centimeters. Personally, I tend to think in inches, not centimeters, and I suspect many other readers think similarly. It would have been beneficial to include English units of measurement as well as metric. Per the introductory text, maximum lengths are those of Illinois specimens unless stated otherwise. I am suspicious of the 260-cm maximum length given for the Coachwhip. That length translates to 102 inches or 8.5 feet, which just happens to be the *record* length for the species, based on an individual from Broward County, Florida (Boundy, 1995). Although unstated, I believe the authors of the *Guide* (both editions) used this record-length Florida snake for their maximum Coachwhip length, which if it did represent an Illinois specimen would make it the longest native snake ever found in the state.

For those readers who own the first edition of the *Guide* and are undecided about acquiring the second edition, I say go for it! There are sufficient changes between the two editions to justify purchasing the second. Among the improvements already mentioned, owners of the first edition should really appreciate the bolding of page numbers in the Index of Common and Scientific Names that correspond to species accounts. This greatly enhances the reader’s ability to quickly locate accounts for species of interest. Given several mapping unconformities in the second edition, I recommend keeping the first edition of the *Guide* handy if you own it. The second edition of the *Guide* is an attractive, up-to-date contribution to our understanding of Illinois’ herpetofauna, and is well worth the modest price.

Acknowledgments

I appreciate Mike Dloogatch’s confidence in me to prepare this review as well as his skillful editing. I also thank Andrew Kuhns for clarifying the Gray Treefrog map.

Literature Cited

- Anton, T. G. 2019. *Regina grahamii*. Catalogue of American Amphibians and Reptiles 920.1-920.40.
- Bennett, E. 1953. An Illinois record of the scarlet snake. *Herpetologica* 9(4):164.
- Boundy, J. 1995. Maximum lengths of North American snakes. *Bulletin of the Chicago Herpetological Society* 30(6):109-122.
- Lemmon, E. M., A. R. Lemmon, J. T. Collins, J. A. Lee-Yaw and D. C. Cannatella. 2007. Phylogeny-based delimitation of species boundaries and contact zones in the trilling chorus frogs (*Pseudacris*). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 44:1068-1082.
- McDowell, W. M., A. K. Wilson and D. B. Clark. 2006. Geographic distribution. *Hemidactylus turcicus* (Mediterranean House Gecko). *Herpetological Review* 37(4):495.
- Morris, M. A., R. S. Funk and P. W. Smith. 1983. An annotated bibliography of the Illinois herpetological literature 1960-1980, and an updated checklist of species of the state. *Illinois Natural History Bulletin* 33:123-138.
- Smith, P. W. 1961. The amphibians and reptiles of Illinois. *Illinois Natural History Survey Bulletin* 28:1-298.
- Yuan, Z., W. Zhou, X. Chen, N. A. Poyarkov, Jr., H. Chen, N. Jang-Liaw, W. Chou, N. J. Matzke, K. Iizuka, M. Min, S. L. Kuzmin, Y. Zhang, D. C. Cannatella, D. M. Hillis and J. Che. 2016. Spatiotemporal diversification of the true frogs (Genus *Rana*): A historical framework for a widely studies group of model organisms. *Systematic Biology* 65(5):824-842.
- Whiles M. R., W. M. McDowell and A. C. Gooley. 2013. Geographic distribution. *Hemidactylus turcicus* (Mediterranean Gecko). *Herpetological Review* 44(1):107.

Portrait of a Herpetologist as a Middle-aged Man — Chapter 6 History of the Dallas Zoo Department of Herpetology (1965–2005) and Comments on the Shrinking Importance of Zoo Herpetology

James B. Murphy
3100 Connecticut Ave NW, #431
Washington, DC 20008
jbmurphy222@gmail.com

Some parts of this article have been presented elsewhere, but are included again here to produce continuity.

Dallas Zoo Department of Herpetology (1965–2005)

From 1966 to retirement 30 years later, I was in charge of the Department of Herpetology in the Pierre A. Fontaine reptile building at the Dallas Zoo and later the curator of the Art Deco Dallas Aquarium in Fair Park. After retirement, I moved to Washington, D.C., and became a Smithsonian Research Associate in the Department of Herpetology at the National Zoological Park (NZP) for 15 years and Curator for five years until October 2015. Later, I moved to the Smithsonian Natural History Museum. I am proud that Lauren Augustine from NZP is now herp curator at Philadelphia Zoo and is building a strong scientific presence.

In the beginning, the herpetological collection in Dallas was very uninteresting, being comprised of mostly common pet-shop taxa. Many of my friends came to the rescue and began to place extremely rare species on loan. At one time, there were 51 varieties of rattlesnakes and a large number of Neotropical pitvipers. Ken McCloud, a colleague at USFWS began sending Indo-Pacific confiscations of rare boids, pythonids, elapids, varanids, and so many others too numerous to mention. These were exciting days — so many choices contributing to a sensory overload! After one trip to Latin America, every single one of about 30 trash cans — used to hold animals when enclosures were being cleaned — was filled with specimens collected by two of my close friends, Barry Armstrong and Jonathan Campbell. As word circulated through the herp community that these creatures — some never photographed before — were in our collection, an increasing number of zoo workers, biologists and serious amateurs throughout the world came to see and photograph the animals.

When we opened the building in 1966, there were precious few who wanted to work there, but as our reputation grew, many started sending job applications. In one case, I was asked by the personnel department to stop advertising positions as over 400 persons, some with advanced degrees, had applied for a previous one. Those days will never happen again. Since there were potential breeding groups available, reproduction was often successful and in one year, over 400 individual reptiles were donated to other institutions.

Our department received American Zoo Association (AZA) Awards:

- Two Edward H. Bean awards for reproduction in Bismarck Ringed Python and Bushmaster;
- Four Significant Achievement awards for reproduction in Eastern Diamondback Rattlesnake, Tancitaran Rattlesnake, Coahuilan Box Turtle and our rattlesnake reproduction program;
- Twenty-one First Captive Breeding awards for a variety of reptilian species;

- Silver Propagator's Certificate for American Milksnake;
- Gold Propagator's Certificate for American Milksnake.

There is no question that the main key to our success was the skill and dedication of the staff. Fourteen employees have moved on to higher positions at other zoos. Examples include Don Boyer, curator of herpetology at Bronx and San Diego Zoos, Dave Blody and Clay Garrett, curators at Ft. Worth Zoo [Garrett and the late Dave Chiszar were co-editors of an issue of *Zoo Biology* on zoo herpetology.], Dave Barker at Gladys Porter Zoo and author of books on boid snakes, Fred Antonio at Santa Fe College Teaching Zoo and Central Florida Zoo, the late Jan Perry at Audubon Zoo, and Winston Card at Cincinnati Zoo.

We have collectively written or edited over a dozen books, some on captive management of herpetofauna, natural history of Mexican rattlesnakes, boid snakes, Komodo dragons and other varanids, chameleons, *Grzimek's Animal Life Encyclopedia*, and over 200 papers, book chapters and bulletins on topics such as the ethological and reproductive studies on a variety of amphibians and reptiles, ophiophobia, zoo history and philosophy, social behavior of herpetologists, and husbandry. A number of papers included keepers as sole and/or co-authors. In a future chapter, I will go into detail about our inclusion of the entire staff in these publications. Many of these books, papers and chapters were coauthored with academic herpetologists — Kraig Adler, J. T. Collins, Jon Campbell, Charles Carpenter, Gary Ferguson, Jim Gillingham, Jim Hanken, Gordon Burghardt, David Chiszar and Hobart Smith — resulting in far better final publications.

Over 20 years ago, Kraig Adler and editor Robert Hansen asked me to be section editor of a new column, called ZOO VIEW, in SSAR's *Herpetological Review* to stimulate zoo workers to join the society. When Bob Hansen retired as editor after 30 years in 2021, I decided to join him. My replacement is Robert Mendyk from the Audubon Zoo.

Part of this new endeavor was to solicit articles from zoo personnel; one important example was the description by NZP pathologist Don Nichols on his search to identify the etiologic agent, later described as *Batrochochytrium dendrobatidis*, in a paper entitled "Tracking Down the Killer Chytrid of Amphibians" [2003, 34(2):101-104]. I was a board member of the IUCN/SSC Declining Amphibian Populations Task Force from its inception until it ceased operations 16 years later (see Heyer and Murphy, 2005) and continue as a member of the Captive Breeding Specialist Group of that organization.

My colleague Winston Card and I published two papers on zoo herpetologists. One of them (Murphy and Card, 1998) presented our analysis of the characteristics of our fellow work-

ers in zoos and aquariums and our perception of their unique personalities. It is striking that herpetologists have many similar interests; I received numerous comments from partners of these people stating that the comparisons were spot on.

The second contribution (Card and Murphy, 2000) was divided into two parts: 1) lists of persons in chronological order under their institutional affiliations in the United States; 2) biographies of deceased and retired staffers who have had an impact on our discipline. This circular, focusing on deceased zoo herpetologists, updates and expands upon earlier information presented in the first publication. In addition, the histories and accomplishments of deceased foreign professionals have been added to show how the profession is global in scope. Early on, I realized after talking to zoo workers that the rich herpetological history and contributions of our predecessors were in danger of being lost forever, so in 2007 I wrote my book entitled *Herpetological History of the Zoo and Aquarium World*, published by Krieger Publishing in cooperation with SSAR. This writing effort lasted over five years. One aspect was that all non-English literature citations were translated by volunteer translators available through the Smithsonian Institution. I sent drafts of chapters to many colleagues, for comments, additional information on initiatives and publications, and possible revisions; Roger Conant penned the foreword.

A list of publications by the Dallas Zoo and National Zoo staffs appears in the Appendix to this article.

Comments on the Shrinking Importance of Zoo Herpetology

REPTILE KEEPING HAS DEVELOPED INTO A SCIENCE DURING THE PAST TWO DECADES, AND THE ADVANCEMENTS, ESPECIALLY IN THE FIELDS OF MEDICATION AND CAPTIVE BREEDING, ARE LITTLE SHORT OF PHENOMENAL. COMPARED WITH THE SOPHISTICATED TECHNIQUES THAT ARE NOW AVAILABLE, OUR EFFORTS OF HALF A CENTURY AGO SEEM CRUDE IN THE EXTREME. IN THOSE DAYS WE OFTEN CONSIDERED OURSELVES LUCKY IF A MAJORITY OF OUR ANIMALS LIVED A YEAR OR TWO, AND WHAT LITTLE CAPTIVE BREEDING OCCURRED WAS FORTUITOUS, NOT PLANNED.

ROGER CONANT, 1980

THUS CAPTIVITY WILL RESULT IN INTENSIVE SELECTION, MOLDING THE ANIMAL IN A MANNER QUITE DIFFERENT FROM THAT FOLLOWED IN ITS NATURAL ENVIRONMENT. THE FINAL PRODUCT WILL BE AN ANIMAL MUCH BETTER ADAPTED TO LIVE IN CLOSE ASSOCIATION WITH HUMANS, IN THE HOME OR LABORATORY, BUT LESS WELL ADAPTED FOR LIFE UNDER NATURAL CONDITIONS.

HENRY S. FITCH, 1980

REARED BY PUPPETS. TO BREED CONDORS IN CAPTIVITY, WE MUST PULL ON THE STRINGS OF NATURE. BUT DOES THAT MATTER IF WE SAVE A SPECIES?

CAPTIVE BREEDING ISN'T DESIGNED TO SAVE SPECIES. IT'S DESIGNED TO MAKE HUMANS FEEL BETTER ABOUT OURSELVES. IT'S PROOF THAT WHATEVER HORRORS WE'RE WREAKING ON OUR PLANET, WE'RE STILL WILLING TO MAKE HERCULEAN EFFORTS TO SAVE THE ANIMALS MOST OBVIOUSLY SUFFERING THE CONSEQUENCES. BUT TO EFFECTIVELY ASSUAGE OUR GUILT, WE NEED TO SEE RESULTS—AND TRULY SUCCESSFUL CAPTIVE BREEDING PROGRAMMES, THE ONES THAT PRODUCE LARGE NUMBERS OF WILD ANIMALS WHICH STAY OUT OF HUMANS' WAY, RARELY GIVE US THOSE. INSTEAD, WE LATCH ON TO THE ADORABLE AND

CONSCIENCE-SOOTHING SPECTACLE OF CAPTIVE BREEDING ITSELF. WE'RE RIVETED BY THE PANDA CAM, WE TURN UP IN DROVES TO WATCH ULTRALIGHT AIRCRAFT LEAD WHOOPING CRANES ON THEIR FIRST MIGRATION. PUPPET-REARING TAKES OUR LOVE OF CAPTIVE BREEDING TO THE EXTREME BY SATISFYING TWO GUILT-ABSOLVING FANTASIES AT ONCE: IT LETS US PLAY AT BEING NATURE'S SAVIOUR WHILE ALSO SYMBOLICALLY ERASING HUMAN BEINGS FROM THE FACE OF THE EARTH.

LIZZIE WADE, *AEON MAGAZINE*, 2014

There are enormous challenges and changes taking place in our profession. Unsettling and penetrating questions are being asked about the value of what we do as zoo and aquarium professionals, both inside and outside our institutions, as evident in the quotes above.

It is no longer possible to restrict one's zoo career to just keeping animals. During job interviews, some candidates have said that they prefer working with animals rather than humans—their applications immediately go to the bottom of the pile. If one wishes to move up the food chain and compete for higher jobs, then familiarity with administration, fund-raising, supervision, public outreach, and other non-animal skill sets is critical. It is almost certain that the ability to read a budget spreadsheet is as important as knowing what current scientific name should be used for any taxon. One example here should suffice. I receive job descriptions from many zoos soliciting applicants to apply for positions beyond the keeper level, virtually all of which require a minimum of at least one year's supervisory experience and broad familiarity with the needs of zoos and aquariums. The tragedy is that most zoos do not offer learning opportunities to keepers so that these minimal requirements can be met.

We are not preparing the younger generation to be successful as potential curators. This is obvious when a position description is circulated throughout our membership and applicants begin to send résumés. Academics from the research side often have virtually no experience with a variety of living captive animals, public outreach, or personnel management. Some zoo workers who came up through the ranks have little experience in setting up successful research programs, either in the zoo or in the field, which often includes collaborations with those outside the zoo setting. It is striking that many applicants are unfamiliar with the rich history of the zoo and aquarium world, so one fears that they may well repeat the mistakes made earlier by their predecessors. Curators with a blend of these critical skills are hard to find now; at least this is what I am told by those persons entrusted with finding suitable candidates.

Our profession is becoming increasingly bureaucratic, and much time is spent filling out surveys, answering an enormous number of e-mails, attending unproductive meetings, and preparing a plethora of memos in response to various issues such as visitor safety, personnel problems, and animal welfare. As a result, the time available to focus on biology is shrinking—this is a chronic complaint by my fellow zoo workers and I understand their frustration. I suggest that institutions review all policies, jettison those which no longer apply, refine those that need improvement and keep only those that are proven to be effective and useful.

Zoo administrators now deal with the internet and any possible deficit that risks a zoo's reputation such as an animal escape,

visitor injury, or untimely animal death, can be broadly and quickly spread—worldwide. Some people dislike zoos and aquariums, accuse us of ignoring animal welfare, and do not understand the value of zoo programs to conservation in the broad sense. We may have inadvertently contributed to this perception by personalizing individual animals. Perhaps it seems safer and easier for us to focus on individual animals: we often use pet names for them, try to enrich their lives by interactive play and using manmade objects, and bond with them as surrogate humans without concern for imprinting or other side effects. When animals are near the end, they cannot send us a note saying “Do Not Resuscitate.” But we employ dramatic medical interventions and costly procedures to ensure that they live to a ripe old age, and mourn and grieve over their deaths (In a few extreme cases, grief counselors have consoled the animal care staff for an animal death and quasi-memorial services have been held). In sum, we demonstrate that we care and we publicize to our audiences that we do.

Enrichment has become one of the newest trends in the zoo world but I am not certain how we measure whether our changes to an animal’s living space and activities actually improves their lives or whether it just makes us feel better. I do know that if we were keeping animals successfully by fulfilling all of their needs, we might no longer need to resort to techniques like assisted reproduction, cryopreservation of gametes, and hand-rearing. We do not spend enough time trying to understand and convey the need to protect an entire species or larger clade rather than individual animals. We need to confront and explain to our visitors thorny problems like the need for euthanasia to deal with limited space in zoos, the issues confronting wild populations, climate change, the sixth mass extinction event, and the advent of the Anthropocene. We need to figure out how our captive colonies can be managed more effectively to accomplish conservation goals.

Many of our zoos are experiencing severe financial shrinkage and the future for some may be problematic. Fund-raising, development, and public relations have become an integral part of the zoo scene. Some of my herpetological colleagues complain that their time is spent leading tours, giving public presentations and interacting with potential benefactors rather than working with the collections. These colleagues have an incredibly short-sighted view for, in fact, their existing or future programs will disappear if there are no monies to support these initiatives.

Obtaining animals has become much more difficult. Moving animals between zoos and aquariums for our captive management programs has become more time-consuming, due to risk of infectious diseases, lack of quarantine space, and increased paperwork. There are few animal dealers now, so zoos may be restricted to mounting their own collecting trips to range countries; these are limited, however, by travel and other expenses, increased paperwork for permits, and lack of reliable in-country associates. Even if such impediments are overcome, there are no guarantees that the animals will be found. While some zoos cooperate with hunting ranches for space to ensure genetic diversity for some large mammals, there is no such program for reptiles. Although this would have been inconceivable to me a short time ago, I can now envisage a day in the future where zoos could be forced to work more closely with hide dealers producing propagules to maintain large reptiles such as crocodilians, iguanids, varanids, boas, and pythons. Public outcry is

certain to be deafening in the herp community and administrators may need to be ready to defend this practice. As a herpetologist, I find killing any herp abhorrent (unless it goes to a museum collection), especially when their skins are being used in the fashion industry, but we are running out of time and space—and some protected wild populations are shrinking precipitously due to human exploitation. My sense is that convincing people to avoid purchasing animal products to drape on their bodies seems not to have been working effectively over the past decades—I still see plenty of snakeskin belts, handbags, and wallets as well as shoes made from crocodile skins at the local department store and I suspect that most are collected from the wild. The IUCN Python Conservation Partnership is in favor of commercial python farming to combat the illegal trade for python skins (Natusch and Lyons, 2014). For nearly 80 years, the Reticulated Python (*Python reticulatus*) and the Burmese Python (*P. bivittatus*) have been harvested from the wild in Southeast Asia. An astounding nearly 500,000 skins are shipped on the black market every year for use in European fashion, worth an estimated one billion dollars US. This paper evaluates the economic feasibility and viability of captive breeding as a possible way to sustain and conserve them. One possible part of a future plan: do not harvest animals sent by zoos, but only their progeny not needed for sustaining captive populations.

The total of these onslaughts and new expectations is that our community has become defensive and tentative. For example, I have heard few discussions about management euthanasia for my entire career (45 years +) and the topic continues to be rarely discussed because there is great risk involved to those having the courage to act on the idea. In the past, zoo directors have lost their jobs over the controversy that erupted when they culled healthy animals that were not contributing to breeding programs. While the Copenhagen Zoo furor—after euthanasia of a giraffe and four lion cubs for management purposes—has spurred a useful discussion of the issues among many zoo workers, virtually all of my non-biologist friends have roundly condemned this action. When I try to explain the rationale for doing this, they are unconvinced.

What is the future of herpetology and specifically zoo herpetology? This latter discipline is becoming very different from our earlier experiences with zoo herpetologists and their buildings, animals and programs, accumulated over 50 years. While there are a few outstanding examples of new zoo herp buildings such as the Fort Worth Zoo’s MOLA, Los Angeles Zoo’s LAIR, and Zoo Atlanta’s Scaly Slimy Spectacular, relatively few new facilities are being constructed exclusively for herps where new zoo employees may not be introduced to a herpetologically rich environment nor encouraged to become specialists. As new facilities are being designed in zoos throughout the world, it often seems that reptiles and amphibians are added as an afterthought, as a small part of a mixed species exhibit or a few small exhibits added in to a much larger exhibit complex. The sad reality is that many zoo herpetologists are concerned and traumatized by the enormity of the problem of disappearing biodiversity. They continue to struggle to find suitable niches, both within their institutions and in the broader conservation and academic communities.

Another worrisome trend that appears to be increasing is the decision by some zoos to move away from traditional taxonom-

ically-defined departments. Over the last decade, several North American zoos which once had longstanding herpetology departments with dedicated herpetology staff and curators have been merged with other departments (e.g., birds, small mammals, aquatics, ambassador animals, invertebrates, etc.). Other zoos have done away with taxonomic groupings altogether and switched to biogeographically-defined departments (e.g., “Africa”, “Asia”) or even less-specialized zones in the zoo (i.e., “North Zone”, “South Zone”). We are concerned that these changes will impact the herpetological conservation and research activities and productivity of zoos, the scope and diversity of their herp collections, staffing, and ultimately the level of expertise and care afforded to captive animals. These changes, including an increasing reliance on generalist keepers over specialists are also reducing the number of true herp keeper positions in zoos, leading to unprecedented levels of competition for jobs. As a recent example, NZP received more than 300 applications for a single herp keeper position. This reduction in specialized positions is also especially true for herp curator and manager positions, where the traditional pathways that zoo herpetologists once followed to advance in their careers may become a relic of the past. Much like many of the taxa we gravitate towards, herpetology curators appear to be on the way to becoming an endangered species.

Countering these growing trends that could be disastrous for reptile and amphibian programs in zoos will require a multifaceted approach that clearly illustrates the importance of taxonomic specialization and expertise in herpetology to zoo administrators, board members, donors, patrons and oversight committees. Tangible results and deliverables demonstrating the productivity of herpetology departments such as scientific and popular publications, public and professional presentations, direct involvement and coordination of conservation projects, collaborative in-house research projects, and participation in documentaries that highlight specialized skill sets to wide audiences may serve as the best weaponry. For zoo herpetology departments to be productive in these endeavors, however, it is crucial that staff be provided with the opportunities and support to take on and excel in these endeavors.

Finally, there is a conundrum. Some administrators, educators, graphics designers, and marketing people insist that the zoo visit must be a pleasant experience, not depressing or disconcerting. Our messages need to be simple, positive, and uplifting. Others maintain that the experience should be unsettling, because the natural world is disappearing at an unprecedented rate and humans need to be aware that their lives will most certainly change by living in an increasingly homogenized natural world. Zoo people have struggled with this dichotomy for decades. My belief is that visitors are capable of connected thought so we need to address difficult concepts and challenges in a forthright way with our messages, but we should also speak to those who simply want to have a good day out.

So what is likely to happen to those older zoo workers who yearn for the good old days where life in a zoo or aquarium was far less complex? The answer is simple—the world is changing at a frantic pace, biodiversity is quickly leaving us, and those old days will never return. Get over it. Future challenges have been identified which must be addressed to ensure our survival, which in itself is a good thing.

Has Herpetological Science Shrunk in Zoos?

IT IS STILL SO NEAR PIONEER TIMES THAT PEOPLE OVER FORTY REMEMBER WILDERNESS TO SPARE. BUT TALK BIG TO YOUR BOY NOWADAYS ABOUT YOUR OWN BOYHOOD IN THE WOODS AND YOU SUDDENLY REALIZE THAT YOU’RE STIRRING HIM UP WITH URGES LESS LIKELY TO PROFIT HIM THAN KNOWING HOW TO SHRINK HEADS OR TO BUILD HIMSELF A SOUND HAREM.

IN FLORIDA THESE DAYS, YOU CAN STILL HEAR, UNDER THE MINDLESS, GLAD DIN OVER INDUSTRY COMING IN, THE VOICES OF THE OLD ONES—OR OF THE YOUNG ONES WHO HAVE LISTENED TO THE OLD ONES—GRIEVING OVER THE PASSING OF THE WILDERNESS. THEY ARE NO LONGER WATCHING LANDSCAPES WASTING AWAY. THAT HAPPENED LONG AGO. WHAT IS GOING ON NOW IS JUST A LOT OF LITTLE CLEANUP OPERATIONS, LITTLE SCRATCHINGS-OUT OF SMALL TAG-ENDS AND PATCHES OF THE PAST OVERLOOKED IN THE FIRST WAVES OF RUIN.

ARCHIE CARR, 1993

THE DISCOVERY AND DELIMITATION OF SPECIES HAS CHANGED DRAMATICALLY OVER TIME. SPECIES DELIMITATION PRACTICES BECAME MORE THOROUGH AND FORMAL IN THE 1900S WITH THE INTRODUCTION OF DETAILED STUDIES OF GEOGRAPHIC VARIATION, CONTACT ZONES, AND REPRODUCTIVE ISOLATING MECHANISMS. IN THE 1960S, GENETIC METHODS FOR EXAMINING THE ALLELIC COMPOSITION ACROSS MANY LOCI BEGAN TO BE USED TO TEST FOR GENE FLOW AND TO DELIMIT SPECIES BOUNDARIES. METHODS FOR DNA SEQUENCING WERE INVENTED IN THE LATE 1970S, JUST AS I STARTED GRADUATE SCHOOL, WHEN I SET MY SIGHTS ON APPLYING THE VAST STORES OF INFORMATION IN GENOMES TO UNDERSTANDING BIODIVERSITY. IN THE LATE 1980S, A NEW METHOD FOR RAPID AMPLIFICATION OF MITOCHONDRIAL DNA LED TO “BARCODING” OF SPECIES AND THE SUBSEQUENT SPLITTING OF SPECIES INTO MITOCHONDRIAL HAPLOTYPE GROUPS. BY THE 1990S, WIDESPREAD SEQUENCING OF NUCLEAR GENES LED TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF MODELS THAT INCORPORATED MULTISPECIES COALESCENT THEORY (MSC). MOLECULAR-BASED METHODS PROVIDE NEW INSIGHTS AND OPPORTUNITIES FOR SPECIES DELIMITATION, BUT MANY SPECIES DELIMITATION STUDIES DO NOT ADEQUATELY CONSIDER VIOLATIONS OF UNDERLYING MODEL ASSUMPTIONS BEFORE MAKING TAXONOMIC CHANGES. INADEQUATE SAMPLING AND A LACK OF ATTENTION TO CONTACT ZONES OFTEN LEADS TO THE OVERSPLITTING OF SPECIES INTO GEOGRAPHICALLY PROXIMATE GROUPS OF POPULATIONS. I PREDICT THE FUTURE WILL BRING A SYNTHESIS OF MANY OLDER PRACTICES (CAREFUL SAMPLING, WITH ATTENTION TO REPRODUCTIVE ISOLATION, CONTACT ZONE ANALYSIS, AND GEOGRAPHIC VARIATION) WITH THE NEW POWERFUL ANALYSIS OF GENOMIC DATA SETS, LEADING TO A REEVALUATION AND REVERSAL OF MUCH OF THE RECENT OVERLY ENTHUSIASTIC SPLITTING OF GEOGRAPHICALLY VARIABLE SPECIES.”

DAVID M. HILLIS, 2019

In a recent issue of *Connect*, the newsletter of the American Zoo and Aquarium Association (AZA), it was said that zoos and aquariums supported conservation initiatives to the tune of over \$130 million dollars in 2011, an impressive sum indeed. These included both *in situ* and *ex situ* programs. Between 2007–2011, AZA’s Conservation Endowment Fund awarded \$419,694 to 22 reptile and amphibian conservation projects. This was out of 71 projects funded overall during that time period. The herp pro-

jects are being done at the institution or in the field.

In 1983, since-retired curator Richard A. Sajdak from the Milwaukee County Zoo published an important article called “Herpetological research in zoos: A literature survey, 1977–1981” in the journal *Zoo Biology*. Of 1084 herp papers published in three major journals between 1977 and 1981, zoos were involved in fifty-three. A total of 16 zoos contributed and under three-fourths of these papers involved behavioral research.

Fifteen years later, Winston Card and David Roberts from Dallas Zoo and R. Andrew Odum from Toledo Zoo published a critical paper that was disturbing. They tried to survey 52 herp departments in the US to assess involvement with AZA-sponsored programs and formal research programs during the past decade. Problem # 1: less than half responded; Problem # 2: nearly 80% of the 164 technical papers published were contributed by three institutions; Problem # 3: over 40% of the non-technical articles were published by one institution; Problem # 4: only four of the 20 plus departments having what they described as “in-house research projects” had clearly defined objectives.

If one takes this analysis further, it is clear that some zoo herpetologists devote many hours to AZA-related activities, i.e. preparing studbooks and Taxon Management Accounts (TMAs), administering Taxon Advisory Groups (TAGs) and so on, and believing that these activities are research. That is really not true.

For awhile, the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) has prompted incorporation or support of field initiatives. In order to comply with some regulations protecting endangered or threatened species, we must demonstrate that our programs will enhance survivability in the wild by some direct involvement with wild populations. Sometimes, we are inexperienced or unprepared to do field projects in the field, especially in developing countries. Extensive habitat destruction, hopeless bureaucracies, and nightmares obtaining permits and indifference by issuing officials were identified by Jonathan Campbell and Darrel Frost nearly 20 years ago: “If the effect of our statements is to make others press for legal restrictions in the taking of these animals, without serious protection of their habitats, then we can only lament that these people have failed what is, in effect, a conservation biology IQ test. They will have put a new roof on a burning house” (Campbell and Frost, 1993). Can anyone argue that the situation has improved since that time of writing?

Because zoo keepers and biologists too often feel overwhelmed embarking on a project involving wild populations, I offer this solution to curators or other zoo staff for initiating a study in the field: 1) arrange to work with a biologist who already has a field project in place; 2) use the keeper staff as field assistants and rotate them; 3) minimally, keepers must prepare for the field beforehand by reading the two books below; 4) zoo staffers benefit by learning a new skill set. Although zoo herpetologists may have spent time in the field collecting herps, they rarely have formal training in conducting a field research project. I say this with certitude because I have reviewed hundreds of résumés, from keeper to curatorial jobs, during my career and this deficit is striking.

The task is easier now because these two books, one old and the other more recent, provide a comprehensive guide as to how to do an *in situ* study on amphibians and reptiles. The first is *Measuring and Monitoring Biological Diversity: Standard*

Methods for Amphibians (Heyer et al., 1994). The newer companion volume covers reptiles: *Reptile Biodiversity: Standard Methods for Inventory and Monitoring* (McDiarmid et al., 2012). In the foreword, Rick Shine sums up the challenge in studying biodiversity: “This is the book that I desperately needed at the beginning of my scientific career. Like most other young herpetologists, I had a pretty simple set of ideas about how to gather data—I’d just go out there, look for snakes, find some, catch them, and then write down anything that seemed useful (such as their sex or body size). And somehow or other, once I’d been doing that for long enough, I’d have a data set that could then tell all kinds of interesting stories about the biology of the creatures in question . . . And after talking with those more experienced herpetologists, it dawned on me that I actually needed to think about what I was going to do, and how I might ultimately use the data, *before* I started my fieldwork.” Before any field study is planned, both books should be thoroughly read to ensure that proper methodology is incorporated; no bookshelf should be without them.

I know many biologists, and virtually all are pessimistic. Some are now calling themselves “Extinction Biologists,” a chilling appellation indeed.

Let me count the ways that some of my herpetological friends and colleagues are skeptical about the long-term success of our *ex situ* zoo conservation projects. Ecologists and evolutionary biologists tell me that extinction is part of the natural mosaic—I need to deal with it, get over the loss of biodiversity and give up intervening—I should just trim my fingernails indifferently and watch it happen. Academic and museum scientists tell me that successful reintroduction is unlikely since there are virtually no suitable places likely to be left after man has intruded into the habitats. Even if some relatively pristine protected areas can be found, the bureaucracy of getting permission to release captive-bred offspring is daunting. Besides that, some of our captive animals have been shaped in a negative way by becoming domesticated, as pointed out by the late Henry Fitch in 1980.

There are few careful studies that assess the competence of our propagules. My colleagues ask me that if our captive animals are competent, then why do we need to resort to procedures like assisted reproduction? The possibility of introducing infectious disease harbored by captive animals is always a concern. Some conservation biologists tell me that we should produce as many animals as possible, related and unrelated, dump them into a secure place and let natural selection weed out the unfit. They point out that genetic diversity is not the only measure of success for survival. Others say that we should not bother with captive assurance colonies at all but rather spend our money buying land focused on endemic hotspots and hiring armed guards to protect them.

A few say we should only set up breeding colonies in countries of origin. Some of my fellow zoo professionals say that many captive colonies have proven to be wasteful in terms of resources and unsustainable. They point out that some species coordinators take a long period of time to send recommendations to minimize inbreeding depression, that others do not send updated information or respond to the recommended pairings, that shipping costs are skyrocketing, paperwork to transfer animals between zoos is dramatically increasing, and there are

not enough founders to sustain many populations over the long haul. Although we may try to deal with the founder issue, many countries are loathe to approve sending animals collected from the wild to zoos and so the requisite paperwork needed to do animal shipments is not issued. Registrars have witnessed a dramatic change in that fewer live animals are shipped now and requests for shipping biological materials have increased exponentially. Is it any wonder that zoo biologists are uncertain about their future role in conservation, especially when criticism and suggestions for improvement — often contradictory — comes from many different directions?

Card et al. (1998) asked an important question: does zoo herpetology have a future? Do we want to be major players in conservation and, if so, where should we marshal our very limited resources of time, space, and money to protect animals at risk? At American Association of Zoos and Aquariums (AZA) meetings in the herp Taxon Advisory Group (TAG) sessions, the reality of putting breeding plans in place to cover amphibians and reptiles in danger of extinction is staggering — there are simply too many species in jeopardy and not enough space in captivity. When I talk with zoo people working with endangered mammals, birds, fishes and invertebrates, it is clear that their experiences parallel ours. We are fighting with each other for a bigger piece of the conservation pie (and that pie is shrinking). Which animal is more important to save — the Asian elephant or the Aruba Island rattlesnake? And most crucially, is there a realistic chance of success? Are our assurance colonies going to be nothing more than assisted living complexes? If assurance colonies are the end point, then why do we bother? Perhaps the programs and colonies exist only to have animals available for our institutions in the future without the slightest hope of reintroducing them? If this is our purpose, we should say so and not advance the notion that this is conservation. How about a middle ground where we do the assurance colonies with the best outcome being reintroduction and the worst being only in zoos and the middle being ‘should conditions in the wild merit it, animals would be available for reintroduction.’

I am confused by all the talk about reintroduction espoused by the zoo community. If a taxon is at risk in the wild, the prime reason seems to be that human activities have changed its living space in some fashion. There are many examples: strip-mining, mountaintop removal, introduction of invasive species, housing developments, oil exploration, clear-cutting forests, water pollution and others equally damaging. If those activities continue, then surely it is illogical to even consider putting captive animals at risk back in original habitat. As I see it, the only other option is reclamation after developers have had their way destroying the land. Studies are needed before the land is ravaged and thought as to what it takes to bring it back or can it even be brought back to its original state. So do we redirect funds to support reclaiming ravaged lands and make this our highest priority?

Scott Pfaff from Riverbanks Zoo told me that he contacted

40 zoos about a couple of decades ago to help develop a breeding program for King Cobras as a way of ensuring that these spectacular snakes would always be available in the future. To do this, a zoo would have to commit to maintaining breeding pairs, incubating eggs, raising neonates, and distributing snakes to other institutions. Only two institutions were willing to participate and most of the others wanted only one large adult for display, expecting Scott to raise their snake until it was large enough for that purpose. Reminds me of the old folk tale: “Who will help me bake the cake?” asked the *Little Red Hen* “Not I, not I.”, said all the other animals in the barnyard. “Who will help me eat the cake?” “I will, I will.”

I quote William Conway (2011): “Successful specialized propagation programs for bats, beetles, snakes, and fish underscore the point: *Specialization is key to every successful threatened species propagation program.*” I here propose a plan for future captive populations in our zoos and aquariums using the Conway model as a guide. In a true Darwinian sense where species are selected against if unfit, we should ruthlessly evaluate all of our current programs, using the Aruba Island Rattlesnake (*Crotalus unicolor*) initiative (Odum and Goode, 1994; Odum, 2010) as a model which includes placement on the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. For new ones, we should insist that an *in situ* component be required — this could include sending money to support scientific studies such as infectious disease, setting up rehabilitation and breeding centers for eventual release, training students and wildlife officials, rebuilding productive collaborations and interactions among the zoo, academic, and conservation biologists, actualizing a plan for public education, developing recommendations for protecting habitats and creating reserves, and a host of other challenges requiring our help. For those now existing without this element, we should consider requiring addition of an in-country plan and if this is not possible, letting them leave the collection through attrition. We must always ask the critical question: are our plans in place consistent with intended results and if not, are we willing to jettison them and try something different?

Conde et al. (2011) recommend a plan that is tangential to mine or even bolsters the idea that species should be ranked: “Because ex situ conservation programs can be challenged when called into action at the last possible moment with only a few remaining individuals of a species, captive breeding should not be simply seen as ‘emergency-room treatment.’ It is a tool that should be considered before the species has reached the point of no return.”

Now that I think of it, perhaps all the discussions taking place — of rewilding and de-extinction and forensic taxonomy — are a measure of just how desperate everyone is feeling. My friend Joe Mendelson sums it up succinctly (2011). Well, we certainly have much to discuss as captive managers and zoo / aquarium conservation biologists and we do not have much time to get it right!

Literature Cited

- Campbell, J. A., and D. R. Frost. 1993. Anguid lizards of the genus *Abronia*: Revisionary notes, descriptions of four new species, a phylogenetic analysis, and key. Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History No. 216.
- Card, W., and J. B. Murphy. 2000. Lineages of zoo herpetologists in the United States. SSAR Herpetological Circular 27:1-44.
- Card, W. C., D. T. Roberts and R. A. Odum. 1998. Does zoo herpetology have a future? Zoo Biology 17:453-462.

- Carr, A. 1993. *Ulendo. Travels of a naturalist in and out of Africa*. Gainesville: University Press of Florida.
- Conant, R. 1980. The reproductive biology of reptiles: An historical perspective. Pp. 3-18 *In*: J. B. Murphy and J. T. Collins, editors, *Reproductive biology and diseases of captive reptiles*. Society for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles. Contributions to Herpetology Number 1.
- Conde, D. A., N. Flesness, F. Colchero, O. R. Jones and A. Scheuerlein. 2011. An emerging role of zoos to conserve biodiversity. *Science* 331:1390-1391.
- Conway, W. 2011. Buying time for wild animals with zoos. *Zoo Biology* 30(1):1-8.
- Fitch, H. S. 1980. Reproductive strategies of reptiles. Pp. 25-31. *In*: J. B. Murphy and J. T. Collins, editors, *Reproductive biology and diseases of captive reptiles*. SSAR Contributions to Herpetology Number 1.
- Heyer, W. R., M. A. Donnelly, R. W. McDiarmid, L. C. Hayek and M. S. Foster (editors). 1994. *Measuring and monitoring biological diversity: Standard methods for amphibians*. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press.
- Heyer, W. R., and J. B. Murphy. 2005. Declining amphibian population task force. Pp. 17-21. *In*: M. Lannoo, editor, *Amphibian Declines: The conservation status of United States species*. Berkeley: University of California.
- Hillis, D. M. 2019. Species delimitation in herpetology. *Journal of Herpetology* 53(1):3-12.
- McDiarmid, R.W., M. S. Foster, C. Guyer, J. W. Gibbons and N. Chernoff (editors). 2012. *Reptile biodiversity: Standard methods for inventory and monitoring*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Mendelson, J. R. III. 2011. Shifted baselines, forensic taxonomy, and Rabbs' fringe-limbed treefrog: The changing role of biologists in an era of amphibian declines and extinctions. *Herpetological Review* 42(1):21-25.
- Murphy, J. B., and W. C. Card. 1998. A glimpse into the life of a zoo herpetologist. *Herpetological Review* 29(2):85-90.
- Natusch, D. J., and J. A. Lyons. 2014. Assessment of python breeding farms supplying the international high-end leather industry. A report under the "Python Conservation Partnership" programme of research. Occasional Paper of the IUCN Species Survival Commission No. 50. Gland, Switzerland: IUCN. 56 pp. <<https://portals.iucn.org/library/sites/library/files/documents/SSC-OP-050.PDF>>
- Odum, R. A. 2010. Aruba Island rattlesnake SSP update. Report to the AZA herpetology TAG meetings, 27 March 2010. Toledo, Ohio: Toledo Zoo.
- Odum, R. A., and M. J. Goode. 1994. The Species Survival Plan for *Crotalus durissus unicolor*: A multifaceted approach to conservation of an insular rattlesnake. Pp. 363-368. *In*: J. B. Murphy, K. Adler and J. T. Collins, editors, *Captive management and conservation of amphibians and reptiles*. Society for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles Contributions to Herpetology, Volume 11.
- Sajdak, R. A. 1983. Herpetological research in zoos: A literature survey, 1977–1981. *Zoo Biology* 2(2):149-152.

Appendix: Publications beginning in 1969 from Dallas Zoo and National Zoo Staff

- Murphy, J. B. 1969. Notes on iguanids and varanids in a mixed exhibit at Dallas Zoo. *International Zoo Yearbook* 9:39-41. [West Indian *Cyclura*]
- . 1971. Notes on the care of the ridge-tailed monitor *Varanus acanthurus brachyurus* at Dallas Zoo. *International Zoo Yearbook* 11:230-231.
- . 1971. A method of immobilising venomous snakes at Dallas Zoo. *International Zoo Yearbook* 11:233. [use of acrylic plastic tube to secure venomous snakes]
- . 1972. A reptile haven in Texas. *International Turtle and Tortoise Society Journal* 6(1):6-9, 29.
- . 1973. The use of the macrolide antibiotic tylosin in the treatment of reptilian respiratory infections. *British Journal of Herpetology* 4(12):317-321.
- . 1973. A review of diseases and treatment of captive chelonians. Part one of a series. *HISS news-journal* 1(1):5-8. [overall overview of husbandry protocols]
- . 1973. A review of diseases and treatment of captive chelonians: Bacterial and viral infections. Part two of a series. *HISS news-journal* 1(3):77-81.
- . 1973. A review of diseases and treatment of captive chelonians: Protozoal infections-vectors. Part three of a series. *HISS news-journal* 1(4):123-128.
- . 1973. A review of diseases and treatment of captive chelonians: Turbellarians-trematodes-cestodes-acanthocephalan worms-nematodes-insects-myiiasis. Part four of a series. *HISS news-journal* 1(5):139-150.
- . 1973. Case history of snakebite due to the horned puff adder, *Bitis caudalis*. *HISS news-journal* 1(5):159-160.
- . 1973. A review of diseases and treatments of captive chelonians: Dietary deficiencies. Part five of a series. *HISS news-journal* 1(6):173-179.

- Murphy, J. B., and J. E. Joy, Jr. 1973. Removal of a deficient fang mechanism in a captive puff adder, *Bitis arietans*. *British Journal of Herpetology* 4(12):314-316.
- Murphy, J. B., and L. A. Mitchell. 1974. Ritualized combat behavior of the pygmy mulga monitor lizard, *Varanus gilleni* (Sauria: Varanidae). *Herpetologica* 30(1):90-97.
- Radcliffe, C. W., J. B. Murphy, D. Kane and C. Edwards. 1974. Artificial hibernation in an environmental chamber. Pp. 1-4. *In*: American Association of Zoological Parks and Aquariums, Regional Conference Proceedings. Topeka, Kansas: Hill's Division, Riviana Foods.
- Gillingham, J. C., C. C. Carpenter, B. J. Brecke and J. B. Murphy. 1975. Courtship and copulatory behavior of the Mexican milksnake, *Lampropeltis triangulum sinaloae*. *Herpetological Review* 6(3):71.
- Murphy, J. B. 1975. A brief outline of suggested treatments for diseases of captive reptiles. *SSAR Herpetological Circular* 4:1-13.
- Murphy, J. B., J. E. Joy, Jr., and J. A. Shadduck. 1975. Colonic torsion in a western diamondback rattlesnake, *Crotalus atrox*. *Journal of Herpetology* 9(2):248.
- Brecke, B. J., J. B. Murphy and W. Seifert. 1976. An inventory of reproduction and social behavior in captive Baird's ratsnakes, *Elaphe obsoleta bairdi* (Yarrow). *Herpetologica* 32(4):389-395.
- Carpenter, C. C., J. C. Gillingham and J. B. Murphy. 1976. The combat ritual of the rock rattlesnake (*Crotalus lepidus*). *Copeia* 1976(4):764-780.
- Carpenter, C. C., J. C. Gillingham, J. B. Murphy and L. A. Mitchell. 1976. A further analysis of the combat ritual of the pygmy mulga monitor, *Varanus gilleni* (Reptilia: Varanidae). *Herpetologica* 32(1):35-40.
- Murphy, J. B. 1976. Pedal luring in the leptodactylid frog, *Ceratophrys calcarata* Boulenger. *Herpetologica* 32(3):339-341.
- . 1976. Zoo procedures for venomous reptiles. Pp. 18-22. *In*: American Association of Zoological Parks and Aquariums. Antivenin Index. Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.
- Murphy, J. B., and J. A. Shadduck. 1976. Reproduction in the eastern diamondback rattlesnake, *Crotalus adamanteus* in captivity, with comments regarding a teratoid birth anomaly. *British Journal of Herpetology* 5(10):727-733.
- Campbell, J. A., and J. B. Murphy. 1977. Miscellaneous notes on the reproductive biology of reptiles. I. Two colubrid snake species from the Malagasy Republic *Leioheterodon madagascariensis* and *Madagascariophis colubrina* (Reptilia, Serpentes, Colubridae). *Journal of Herpetology* 11(2):228-230.
- Campbell, J. A., and J. B. Murphy. 1977. A new species of *Geophis* (Reptilia, Serpentes, Colubridae) from the Sierra de Coalcomán, Michoacán, Mexico. *Journal of Herpetology* 11(4):397-403. [honoring William Pyburn]
- Gillingham, J.C., C. C. Carpenter, B. J. Brecke and J. B. Murphy, J.B. 1977. Courtship and copulatory behavior of the Mexican milk snake *Lampropeltis triangulum sinaloae* (Colubridae). *Southwestern Naturalist* 22(2):187-194.
- Murphy, J. B. 1977. An unusual method of immobilizing avian prey by the dog-tooth cat snake, *Boiga cynodon*. *Copeia* 1977(1):181-184 [use of tail to immobilize bird prey].
- Murphy, J. B., and R. K. Guese. 1977. Reproduction in the Hispaniolan boa *Epicrates fordii fordii* at the Dallas Zoo. *International Zoo Yearbook* 17:132-133.
- Murphy, J. B., H. Quinn and J. A. Campbell. 1977. Observations on the breeding habits of the aquatic caecilian *Typhlonectes compressicaudus*. *Copeia* 1977(1):66-69.
- Carpenter, C. C., and J. B. Murphy. 1978. Aggressive behavior in the Fiji Island lizard *Brachylophus fasciatus* (Reptilia, Lacertilia, Iguanidae). *Journal of Herpetology* 12(2):251-252.
- Carpenter, C. C., and J. B. Murphy. 1978. Tongue display by the common bluetongue (*Tiliqua scincoides*) (Reptilia, Lacertilia, Scincidae). *Journal of Herpetology* 12(3):428-429.
- Carpenter, C. C., J. B. Murphy and G. C. Carpenter. 1978. Tail luring in the death adder, *Acanthophis antarcticus* (Reptilia, Serpentes, Elapidae). *Journal of Herpetology* 12(4):574-577.
- Carpenter, C. C., J. B. Murphy and L. A. Mitchell. 1978. Combat bouts with spur use in the Madagascan boa (*Sanzinia madagascariensis*). *Herpetologica* 34(2):207-212.
- Murphy, J. B., and B. L. Armstrong. 1978. Maintenance of rattlesnakes in captivity. University of Kansas Museum of Natural History, Special Publication Number 3.
- Murphy, J. B., D. G. Barker and B. W. Tryon. 1978. Miscellaneous notes on the reproductive biology of reptiles. 2. Eleven species of the family Boidae, genera *Candoia*, *Corallus*, *Epicrates* and *Python*. *Journal of Herpetology* 12(3):385-390.
- Murphy, J. B., C. C. Carpenter and J. C. Gillingham. 1978. Caudal luring in the green tree python, *Chondropython viridis* (Reptilia, Serpentes, Boidae). *Journal of Herpetology* 12(1):117-119.

- Murphy, J. B., and W. E. Lamoreaux. 1978. Threatening behavior in Mertens' water monitor *Varanus mertensi* (Sauria: Varanidae). *Herpetologica* 34(2):202-205.
- Murphy, J. B., and W. E. Lamoreaux. 1978. Mating behavior in three Australian chelid turtles (Testudines: Pleurodira: Chelidae). *Herpetologica* 34(4):398-405. [John Legler documented these behaviors in wild populations]
- Murphy, J. B., W. E. Lamoreaux and C. C. Carpenter. 1978. Threatening behavior in the angle-headed dragon, *Goniocephalus dilophus* (Reptilia, Lacertilia, Agamidae). *Journal of Herpetology* 12(4):455-460.
- Murphy, J. B., B. W. Tryon and B. J. Brecke. 1978. An inventory of reproduction and social behavior in captive gray-banded kingsnakes, *Lampropeltis mexicana alterna* (Brown). *Herpetologica* 34(1):84-93.
- Armstrong, B. L., and J. B. Murphy. 1979. The natural history of Mexican rattlesnakes. University of Kansas Museum of Natural History, Special Publication Number 5.
- Barker, D. G., J. B. Murphy and K. W. Smith. 1979. Social behavior in a captive group of Indian pythons, *Python molurus* (Serpentes, Boidae) with formation of a linear social hierarchy. *Copeia* 1979(3):366-371.
- Murphy, J. B. 1979. Herpetology at the Dallas Zoo. *Herpetological Review* 10(4):111-112.
- Murphy, J. B., and L. A. Mitchell. 1979. Miscellaneous notes on the reproductive biology of reptiles. III. The Uracoan rattlesnake, *Crotalus vegrandis* Klauber (Serpentes, Viperidae). *Journal of Herpetology* 13(3):373-374.
- Shadduck, J. A., and J. B. Murphy. 1979. Suggestions for the post-mortem examination of reptiles. *Herpetological Review* 10(4):113-115.
- Murphy, J. B., and D. G. Barker. 1980. Courtship and copulation of the Ottoman viper (*Vipera xanthina*) with special reference to use of hemipenes. *Herpetologica* 36(2):165-170.
- Murphy, J. B., and J. T. Collins (editors). 1980. Reproductive biology and diseases of captive reptiles. Society for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles. Contributions to Herpetology, Volume 1.
- Murphy, J. B. 1981. Reproduction of *Bothrops* at Dallas Zoo. *International Zoo News* 28(1).
- Murphy, J. B., W. E. Lamoreaux and D. G. Barker. 1981. Miscellaneous notes on the reproductive biology of reptiles. 4. Eight species of the family Boidae, genera *Acrantophis*, *Aspidites*, *Candoia*, *Liasis* and *Python*. *Transactions of the Kansas Academy of Sciences* 84(1):39-49.
- Murphy, J. B.. 1982. Maintenance of pit vipers in captivity. Pp. 57-63. *In*: Proceedings of the 5th Annual Reptile Symposium on Captive Propagation and Husbandry, Oklahoma City Zoo, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, June 11-14, 1981. Thurmont, Maryland: Zoological Consortium Inc.
- Tryon, B. W., and J. B. Murphy. 1982. Miscellaneous notes on the reproductive biology of reptiles. 5. Thirteen varieties of the genus *Lampropeltis*, species *mexicana*, *triangulum* and *zonata*. *Transactions of the Kansas Academy of Sciences* 85(2):96-119.
- Gillingham, J. C., C. C. Carpenter and J. B. Murphy. 1983. Courtship, male combat and dominance in the western diamondback rattlesnake, *Crotalus atrox*. *Journal of Herpetology* 17(3):265-270.
- Murphy, J. B., and J. T. Collins. 1983. A review of the diseases and treatments of captive turtles. Lawrence, Kansas: AMS Publishing.
- Murphy, J. B., and L. A. Mitchell. 1983. Breeding the aquatic box turtle *Terrapene coahuila* at Dallas Zoo. *International Zoo Yearbook* 23:135-137.
- Radcliffe, C. W., and J. B. Murphy. 1983. Precopulatory and related behaviours in captive crotalids and other reptiles: Suggestions for future investigation. *International Zoo Yearbook* 23:163-166.
- Campbell, J. A., and J. B. Murphy. 1984. Reproduction in five species of Paraguayan colubrids. *Transactions of the Kansas Academy of Sciences* 87(1-2):63-65.
- Murphy, J. B., and L. A. Mitchell. 1984. Miscellaneous notes on the reproductive biology of reptiles. 6. Thirteen varieties of the genus *Bothrops* (Serpentes, Crotalidae). *Acta Zoologica et Pathologica Antverpiensia* 78:199-214.
- Barker, D. G. 1985. Maintenance and reproduction of green tree monitors at the Dallas Zoo. Pp. 91-92. *In*: S. McKeown and F. Caporaso, editors, Proceedings of the 8th Annual International Herpetological Symposium on Captive Propagation and Husbandry, July 17-20, 1984, Columbus, Ohio. Thurmont Maryland: Zoological Consortium Inc..
- Barker, D. G. 1985. Maintenance and reproduction of black-headed pythons at the Dallas Zoo. Pp. 106-108. *In*: S. McKeown and F. Caporaso, editors, Proceedings of the 8th Annual International Herpetological Symposium on Captive Propagation and Husbandry, July 17-20, 1984, Columbus, Ohio. Thurmont Maryland: Zoological Consortium Inc.
- Radcliffe, C. W., D. Chiszar, K. Estep, J. B. Murphy and H. M. Smith. 1986. Observations on pedal luring and pedal movements in leptodactylid frogs. *Journal of Herpetology* 20(3):300-306.
- Murphy, J. B., and J. A. Campbell. 1987. Captive maintenance. Pp. 165-181. *In*: R. A. Seigel, J. T. Collins and S. S. Novak, editors, Snakes: Ecology and evolutionary biology. New York: Macmillan Publishing Co.

- Murphy, J. B., J. E. Rehg, P. F. A. Maderson and W. B. McCrady. 1987. Scutellation and pigmentation defects in a laboratory colony of western diamondback rattlesnakes (*Crotalus atrox*): Mode of inheritance. *Herpetologica* 43(3):292-300.
- Murphy, J. B., and J. A. Campbell. 1988. Selected references applicable to the maintenance of snakes in captivity. Pp. 96-121. *In*: R. Gowen, editor, Proceedings of the Northern California Herpetological Society's Conference on Captive Propagation and Husbandry of Reptiles and Amphibians. Northern California Herpetological Society Special Publication #4.
- Boyer, D. M., L. A. Mitchell and J. B. Murphy. 1989. Reproduction and husbandry of the Bushmaster *Lachesis muta muta* at the Dallas Zoo. *International Zoo Yearbook* 28:190-194.
- Chiszar, D., C. Castro, J. B. Murphy and H. M. Smith. 1989. Discrimination between thermally different rodent carcasses by bull snakes (*Pituophis melanoleucus*) and cobras (*Naja pallida* and *Aspidelaps scutatus*). *Bulletin of the Chicago Herpetological Society* 24(10): 181-183.
- Chiszar, D., J. B. Murphy, C. W. Radcliffe and H. M. Smith. 1989. Bushmaster (*Lachesis muta*) predatory behavior at Dallas Zoo and San Diego Zoo. *Bulletin of the Psychonomic Society* 27(5):459-461.
- Hammack, S. H. 1989. Reproduction of the Colombian milk snake *Lampropeltis triangulum andesiana* at the Dallas Zoo. *International Zoo Yearbook* 28:172-177.
- Murphy, J. B., and D. Chiszar. 1989. Herpetological master planning for the 1990s. *International Zoo Yearbook* 28:1-7.
- Murphy, J. B., and L. A. Mitchell. 1989. 'The other side': An experiment in reptile appreciation at the Dallas Zoo. *International Zoo Yearbook* 28:205-207.
- Ferguson, G., J. B. Murphy and R. Hudson. 1991. The quest for the Mount Kenya muriyu. *Vivarium* 3(1):18-22, 36-38.
- Chiszar, D., J. B. Murphy and H. M. Smith. 1992. Excitation and inhibition of reproductive events in captive reptiles. Pp. 95-102. *In*: M. J. Uricheck, editor, Proceedings of the 15th International Herpetological Symposium on Captive Propagation and Husbandry, Seattle, Washington, June 20-23, 1991.
- Jordan, T., D. M. Schleser and D. T. Roberts. 1992. Captive reproduction of *Eurycea neotenes*, the Comal Springs salamander at the Dallas Aquarium. Pp. 771-773. *In*: 1992 AAZPA Regional Conference Proceedings.
- Chiszar, D., J. B. Murphy and H. M. Smith. 1993. In search of zoo-academic collaborations: A research agenda for the 1990's. *Herpetologica* 49(4):488-500.
- Garrett, C. M., and B. E. Smith. 1994. Perch color preferences in juvenile green tree pythons, *Chondropython viridis*. *Zoo Biology* 13(1):45-50.
- Ferguson, G. W., J. Murphy, A. Raselimanana, J.-B. Ramanamanjato and J. Annis. 1994. Chameleon profile: The panther chameleon (*Chamaeleo pardalis*). *Chameleon Information Network Journal* 11:11-20.
- McCrady, W. B., J. B. Murphy, C. M. Garrett and D. T. Roberts. 1994. Scale variation in a laboratory colony of amelanistic diamondback rattlesnakes (*Crotalus atrox*). *Zoo Biology* 13(2):95-106.
- Murphy, J. B., K. Adler and J. T. Collins (editors). 1994. Captive management and conservation of amphibians and reptiles. Society for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles Contributions to Herpetology, Volume 11.
- Boyer, D., C. M. Garrett, J. B. Murphy, D. Chiszar and H. M. Smith. 1995. In the footsteps of Charles C. Carpenter: Facultative strike-induced chemosensory searching and trail-following behavior of bushmasters (*Lachesis muta*) at Dallas Zoo. *Herpetological Monographs* 9:161-168.
- Chiszar, D., W. T. Tomlinson, H. M. Smith, J. B. Murphy and C. W. Radcliffe. 1995. Behavioural consequences of husbandry manipulations: Indicators of excitement and other psychological processes in reptiles. Pp. 186-204. *In*: C. Warwick, F. Frye and J. B. Murphy, editors, Health and Welfare of Captive Reptiles. London: Chapman & Hall.
- Ferguson, G., J. B. Murphy, A. Raselemanana and J.-B. Ramanamanjato. 1995. Panther chameleon (*Chamaeleo pardalis*): Natural history, captive management and breeding. Pp. 5-32. *In*: P. de Vosjoli and G. Ferguson, editors, Care and breeding of panther, Jackson's, veiled and Parson's chameleons. Santee, California: Advanced Vivarium Systems.
- Warwick, C., F. L. Frye and J. B. Murphy (editors). 1995. Health and welfare of captive reptiles. London: Chapman & Hall.
- Garrett, C. M., D. M. Boyer, W. C. Card, D. T. Roberts, J. B. Murphy and D. Chiszar. 1996. Comparison of chemosensory behavior and prey trail-following in the varanoid lizards *Varanus gouldii* and *Heloderma suspectum*. *Zoo Biology* 15(3):255-265.
- Murphy, J. B., J. T. Collins and K. Adler. 1997. Herpetology vs. herpetoculture: Cooperation or competition. *Reptiles* 5(3):72-79.
- Boyer, D. M., and D. T. Roberts. 1998. The predatory strike of the temple viper *Tropidolaemus wagleri*. *The Snake* 28(1-2):79-82.
- Card, W. C., D. T. Roberts and R. A. Odum. 1998. Does zoo herpetology have a future? *Zoo Biology* 17(5):453-462.
- Doles, M. W. 1998. Maternal care in the spiny lizard, *Sceloporus orcutti*. *Journal of Herpetology* 32(1):122-124.
- Marcellini, D. L., and J. B. Murphy. 1998. Education in a zoological park or aquarium: An ontogeny of learning opportunities. *Herpetologica* 54(Supplement):S12-S16.

- Murphy, J. B., and W. C. Card. 1998. A glimpse into the life of a zoo herpetologist. *Herpetological Review* 29(2):85-90.
- Walsh, T., R. Rosscoe and J. B. Murphy. 1998. 21st century conservation of the Komodo dragon. *Reptile & Amphibian Magazine* 55:48-55.
- Hartdegen, R. W., D. Chiszar and J. B. Murphy. 1999. Observations on the feeding behavior of captive black tree monitors, *Varanus beccari*. *Amphibia-Reptilia* 20(3):330-332.
- Walsh, T., D. Chiszar, E. Wikramanayake, H. M. Smith and J. B. Murphy. 1999. The thermal biology of captive and free ranging wild Komodo dragons, *Varanus komodoensis* (Reptilia: Sauria: Varanidae). *Advances in Monitor Research II. Mertensiella* 11:239-246.
- Card, W., and J. B. Murphy. 2000. Lineages of zoo herpetologists in the United States. *SSAR Herpetological Circular* 27:1-44.
- Burghardt, G. M., D. Chiszar, J. B. Murphy, J. Romano Jr., T. Walsh and J. Manrod. 2002. Behavioral complexity, behavioral development, and play. Pp. 77-117. *In*: J. B. Murphy, C. Ciofi, C. de La Panouse and T. Walsh, editors, *Komodo dragons: Biology and conservation*. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press. [description of play behavior in NZP dragon]
- Murphy, J. B., C. Ciofi, C. de La Panouse and T. Walsh (editors). 2002. *Komodo dragons. Biology and conservation*. Washington, D.C.: Smithsonian Institution Press.
- Hutchins, M., J. B. Murphy and N. Schlager (editors). 2003. *Grzimek's Animal Life Encyclopedia*, 2nd edition. Volume 7, Reptiles. Farmington Hills, Michigan: Gale Group.
- Walsh, T., and J. B. Murphy. 2003. Observations on the husbandry, breeding and behaviour of the Indian python, *Python molurus molurus* at the National Zoological Park, Washington, DC. *International Zoo Yearbook* 38:145-152.
- Ferguson, G. W., J. B. Murphy, J.-B. Ramanamanjato and A. P. Raselimanana. 2004. *The Panther Chameleon: Color variation, natural history, conservation and captive management*. Malabar, Florida: Krieger Publishing Co.
- Hartdegen, R. W. 2004. Population dynamics of urban Texas rat snakes (*Elaphe obsoleta lindheimeri*) at the Dallas Zoo. Master of Science Thesis in Biology, University of Texas at Arlington.
- Heichler, L., and J. B. Murphy. 2004. Johann Matthäus Bechstein: The father of herpetoculture. *Herpetological Review* 35(1):8-13.
- Murphy, J. B., and G. Iliff. 2004. Count de Lacepède: Renaissance zoo man. *Herpetological Review* 35(3):220-223.
- Garrett, C. M. 2005. Herpetological research in zoos: A contemporary assessment. *Herpetological Review* 36(2):103-106.
- Heyer, W. R., and J. B. Murphy. 2005. Declining Amphibian Population Task Force. Pp. 17-21. *In*: M. Lannoo, editor, *Amphibian declines. The conservation status of United States species*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Murphy, J. B. 2005. Chameleons: Johann von Fischer and other perspectives. *SSAR Herpetological Circular* 33.
- Murphy, J. B., and D. E. Jacques. 2005. Grace Olive Wiley: Zoo curator with safety issues. *Herpetological Review* 36(4):365-367.
- Murphy, J. B. 2006. Warren J. Iliff (1936–2006). *Herpetological Review* 37(4):399-400.
- . 2006. Wild and ferocious reptiles in the Tower of London. *Herpetological Review* 37(1):10-13.
- Murphy, J. B., and D. E. Jacques. 2006. Death by snakebite: The entwined histories of Grace Olive Wiley and Wesley H. Dickinson. *Bulletin of the Chicago Herpetological Society*, Special Supplement.
- Murphy, J. B., and T. Walsh. 2006. Dragons and humans. *Herpetological Review* 37(3):269-275.
- Murphy, J. B. 2007. *Herpetological history of the zoo and aquarium world*. Malabar, Florida: Krieger Publishing.
- Murphy, J. B., and W. A. Xanten. 2007. Seventy-five years of herpetology at the Smithsonian's National Zoological Park: The facilities, collection, people, and programs. *Herpetological Review* 38(3):262-273.
- Manrod, J. D., R. Hartdegen, and G. M. Burghardt. 2008. Rapid solving of a problem apparatus by juvenile black-throated monitor lizards (*Varanus albigularis albigularis*). *Animal Cognition* 11(2):267–273.
- Murphy, J. B. 2008. Updating the bookshelves part I: Chelonians, snakes, amphibians. *Herpetological Review* 39(3):263-269.
- . 2008. Updating the bookshelves part II: Medical and captive management, conservation, biographies, regional books, history. *Herpetological Review* 39(4):401-407.
- Petzold, H.-G. 2008. *Lives of captive reptiles*. *SSAR Contributions to Herpetology*, Volume 22. [Translation by Lucian Heichler of *Aufgaben und Probleme bei der Erforschung der Lebensäusserungen der Niederen Amnioten (Reptilien)*, edited by J. B. Murphy]
- Burghardt, G. M., J. B. Murphy, D. Chiszar and M. Hutchins. 2009. Combating ophiophobia: Origins, treatment, education, and conservation tools. Pp. 262-280. *In*: S. J. Mullin and R. A. Seigel, editors, *Snakes: Ecology and conservation*. Ithaca, New York: Cornell University Press.
- Murphy, J. B. 2009. Updating the bookshelves part III: Important herpetological books appearing during the last two decades. General—regional works—snakes—lizards. *Herpetological Review* 40(1):6-18.

- . 2009. Updating the bookshelves part IV: Important herpetological books appearing during the last two decades. Chelonians—crocodilians—amphibians—captive and medical management—zoo history. *Herpetological Review* 40(2):134-142.
- . 2009. History of early French herpetology. Part I: The reptile menagerie of the Museum of Natural History in Paris. *Herpetological Review* 40(3):263-273.
- . 2009. History of early French herpetology. Part II: Picture Gallery. *Herpetological Review* 40(4):404-409.
- . 2010. Updating the bookshelves part V: Biographies and autobiographies, amphibian extinction, snake ecology and conservation, rattlesnakes, chelonians, lizards and crocodilians, anoles. *Herpetological Review* 41(1):6-16.
- . 2010. Foreword. Pp. xi-xii. *In*: L. D. Wilson, J. H. Townsend and J. D. Johnson, editors, *Conservation of Mesoamerican amphibians and reptiles*. Eagle Mountain, Utah: Eagle Mountain Publishing.
- Murphy, J. B., and K. McCloud. 2010. Evolution of keeping captive amphibians and reptiles. *Herpetological Review* 41(2):134-142.
- Murphy, J. B., and K. McCloud. 2010. Reptile dealers and their price lists. *Herpetological Review* 41(3):266-281.
- Kian, N., M. Kaboli, M. Karami, A. Alizadeh, S. Teymurzadeh, N. Khalilbeigi, J. B. Murphy and E. Nourani. 2011. Captive management and reproductive biology of Latifi's Viper (*Montivipera latifii*) (Squamata: Viperidae) at Razi Institute and Tehran University in Iran. *Herpetological Review* 42(4):535-539.
- Evans, M. J., B. Gratwicke and J. B. Murphy. 2012. New Panama Amphibian Rescue and Conservation Project exhibit at Smithsonian National Zoological Park. *Herpetological Review* 43(2):208-209.
- Kian, N., M. Kaboli, M. Karami and J. B. Murphy. 2013. Observations on the endangered Iranian Zanzan Viper, *Montivipera albicornuta* (Squamata: Viperidae), in captivity and in the wild. *Herpetological Review* 44(1):86-89.
- Kuppert, S. 2013. Providing enrichment in captive amphibians and reptiles: Is it important to know their communication? Smithsonian Herpetological Information Service Number 142. 42 pp.
- Murphy, J. B. 2013. Deadly encounters by and upon crocodilians depicted in historical illustrations and accounts. Part I. Assaults by crocodilians. *Herpetological Review* 44(2):196-207.
- . 2013. Deadly encounters by and upon crocodilians depicted in historical illustrations and accounts. Part II. Assaults upon crocodilians. 44(3):375-393.
- Murphy, J. B., and H. M. Smith. 2013. In memoriam: David Alfred Chiszar (1944–2013), with reflections from colleagues and friends. *Herpetological Review* 44(2):188-195.
- Terrell, K. A., R. P. Quintero, S. Murray, J. D. Kleopfer, J. B. Murphy, M. J. Evans, B. D. Nissen and B. Gratwicke. 2013. Cryptic impacts of temperature variability on amphibian immune function. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 216(22):4204-4211.
- Murphy, J. B. 2014. Studies on venomous reptiles in zoos and aquariums. Part I. Introduction, rear-fanged colubrid snakes, elapids, sea kraits and seasnakes. *Herpetological Review* 45(1):158-178.
- . 2014. Studies on venomous reptiles in zoos and aquariums: Part II—True vipers, Fea's Viper, Mole Vipers, pitvipers, venomous lizards, conclusion. *Herpetological Review* 45(2):346-364.
- . 2014. Studies on pythons, boas and anacondas, dwarf boas, and Round Island splitjaw snakes in zoos and aquariums. *Herpetological Review* 45(3):535-556.
- . 2014. Zoos and aquariums: What is next? *Herpetological Review* 45(3):531-534.
- Murphy, J. B., E. Stromberg, E. Rosenthal and M. Bastian. 2014. Orangutans and gorillas just do not like snakes. *Herpetological Review* 45(4):723-728.
- Burghardt, G. M., V. Dinets and J. B. Murphy. 2015. Highly repetitive object play in a cichlid fish (*Tropheus duboisi*). *Ethology* 120(1):38-44.
- Mendelson, J. R. III, C. T. Kinsey and J. B. Murphy. 2015. A review of the biology and literature of the Gulf Coast Toad (*Incilius nebulifer*), native to Mexico and the United States. *Zootaxa* 3974(4):517-537.
- Murphy, J. B. 2015. Studies on non-venomous colubrid snakes and a few other families in zoos and aquariums. *Herpetological Review* 46(1):119-134.
- . 2015. Identifying amphibians and reptiles in zoos and aquariums. *Herpetological Review* 46(2):290-294.
- . 2015. Studies on lizards and tuataras in zoos and aquariums. Part I—Introduction, history, families Iguanidae, Agamidae, Chamaeleonidae, and Infraorder Gekkota. *Herpetological Review* 46(3):464-482.
- . 2015. Studies on lizards and tuataras in zoos and aquariums. Part II—Families Teiidae, Lacertidae, Bipedidae, Amphisbaenidae, Scincidae, Cordylidae, Xantusiidae, Anguidae, Helodermatidae, Varanidae, Shinisauridae, Xenosauridae, and Sphenodontidae. *Herpetological Review* 46(4):672-685.

- Gratwicke, B., and J. B. Murphy. 2016. Amphibian conservation efforts at the Smithsonian's National Zoo and Conservation Biology Institute. *Herpetological Review* 47(4):711-718.
- Murphy, J. B. 2016. Feasting on Green Sea Turtle soup in the Victorian Era. *Herpetological Review* 47(1):162-164.
- . 2016. Conservation initiatives and studies of tortoises, turtles, and terrapins mostly in zoos and aquariums. Part I—Tortoises. *Herpetological Review* 47(2):335-349.
- . 2016. Conservation initiatives and studies of tortoises, turtles, and terrapins mostly in zoos and aquariums. Part II—Suborder Pleurodira, Suborder Cryptodira, Sea Turtles. *Herpetological Review* 47(3):501-512.
- . 2016. Art in herpetology: Four historical views of a large Australian skink (genus *Egernia*). *Herpetological Review* 47(4):690-691.
- Murphy, J. B., M. Evans, L. Augustine and K. Miller. 2016. Behaviors in the Cuban Crocodile (*Crocodylus rhombifer*). *Herpetological Review* 47(2):235-240.
- Della Togna, G., V. L. Trudeau, B. Gratwicke, M. Evans, L. Augustine, H. Chia, E. J. Bronikowski, J. B. Murphy, and P. Comizzoli. 2017. Effects of hormonal stimulation on the concentration and quality of excreted spermatozoa in the critically endangered Panamanian golden frog (*Atelopus zeteki*). *Theriogenology* 91:27-35.
- Gratwicke, B., and J. B. Murphy. 2017. History of captive management and conservation amphibian programs mostly in zoos and aquariums. Part II—Salamanders and caecilians. *Herpetological Review* 48(2):474-486.
- Murphy, J. B. 2017. Cuban herps. *Herpetological Review* 48(3):702-708.
- . 2017. An appreciation of Kraig Adler. *Herpetological Review* 48(4):885-886.
- . 2017. Rattlesnakes and zoos. *Herpetological Review* 48(4):887-898.
- Murphy, J. B., and B. Gratwicke. 2017. History of captive management and conservation amphibian programs mostly in zoos and aquariums. Part I—Anurans. *Herpetological Review* 48(1):241-260.
- Murphy, J. B. 2018. Why I really miss my late friend Jozsef (Joe) Laszlo. *Herpetological Review* 49(1):158-164.
- . 2018. Aberrant rattlesnakes—snake freak show. *Herpetological Review* 49(2):381-385.
- . 2018. A bale of bejeweled turtles. *Herpetological Review* 49(4):774-778.
- . 2018. Foreword. Pp. xi-xiii. *In: The dragon traders*, R. Michael Burger, Parador Press.
- . 2018. Professor Tim Halliday: Amphibians' best friend. *FrogLog* 120, P. 12.
- Murphy, J. B., and J. Hanken. 2018. Why are there not more agamid lizards in zoo collections? *Herpetological Review* 49(3):588-593.
- Murphy, J. B. 2019. Launching SSAR into the herpetocultural world. *Herpetological Review* 50(1):34-37.
- . 2019. How it all began—herpetologists and their art through the ages. Part I. 12th through 17th centuries. *Herpetological Review* 50(3):628-640.
- . 2019. How it all began—herpetologists and their art through the ages. Part II—18th century. *Herpetological Review* 50(4):836-849.
- Murphy, J. B., R. W. Mendyk, K. L. Miller and L. Augustine. 2019. Tales of monitor lizard tails and other perspectives. *Herpetological Review* 50(1):178-201.
- Murphy, J. B. 2020. How it all began—herpetologists and their art through the ages. Part III—19th and mid-20th centuries listing surnames A–C. *Herpetological Review* 51(2):381-390.
- . 2020. How it all began—herpetologists and their art through the ages. Part III—19th and mid-20th centuries listing surnames D–L. *Herpetological Review* 51(3):651-659.
- . 2020. How it all began—herpetologists and their art through the ages. Part III—19th and mid-20th centuries listing surnames L–W. *Herpetological Review* 51(4):893-904.
- Murphy, J. B., R. W. Mendyk and E. S. Herrelko. 2020. How to make new zoo herpetologists' lives more interesting and productive. *Herpetological Review* 51(1):172-181.
- . 2021. Dedication to a highly productive zoo conservation biologist and herpetologist who serves as a wonderful model for all persons in our profession—Allison C. Alberts, Ph.D. *Herpetological Review* 52(2):458-451.
- Terrell, K. A., R. P. Quintero, V. Acosta Galicia, E. Bronikowski, M. Evans, J. D. Kleopfer, S. Murray, J. B. Murphy, B. D. Nissen and B. Gratwicke. 2021. Physiological impacts of temperature variability and climate warming in hellbenders (*Cryptobranchus alleganiensis*). *Conservation Physiology* 9(1). <<https://doi.org/10.1093/conphys/coab079>>

Keeping Track of the Neighbors (Part Two)


John J. Cebula
College of DuPage (retired)
junco822@gmail.com

Almost every “nature trail” and boardwalk through a wetland that I’ve been on in Florida has a sign at the trailhead warning visitors about the presence of snakes and alligators. As for snakes, I should be so lucky: bicyclists and joggers who think they own the right-of-way are an omnipresent threat to the wildlife watcher, more than any snake present. Once, while I was photographing a Southern Ribbon Snake on a boardwalk, a squad of young mothers pushing strollers and accompanied by tots on tricycles muscled past, almost shoving me into a mangrove, oblivious to me and the snake.

Alligators, though, are a different story. First (and I’ve shared this before), my human neighbors adamantly deny that alligators grace the lake our neighborhood surrounds. They’ve seen alligators in the canal paralleling the major road outside our neighborhood; and once, for a few days, I saw an alligator in a retention pond behind a strip mall about a half-mile away.

However, I’ve discovered a few fairly reliable spots to see alligators (I’m omitting some of the resort hotels that often have a gator or two in a pond—this keeps the tourists happy). Freedom Park, located on Golden Gate Parkway between Goodlette-Frank and Airport-Pulling Roads, does have some ponds on the west side where two or three alligators bask on the banks. Once my wife and I were able to watch a six- or seven-foot-long gator drift beneath the bridge over the channel connecting two pools. The park is a nice, “civilized” place to see gators: Within five or ten minutes you can be at an indoor shopping mall, if that’s how you want to spend your time in Florida.

My two favorite spots to see alligators, sites which have proven more or less reliable, are Marsh Trail at Ten Thousand Islands National Wildlife Refuge and CREW Bird Rookery Swamp. The Marsh Trail is located southeast of Naples along US 41 (Tamiami Trail in Naples; Lakeshore-DuSable Drive in Chicago) a few minutes past Florida’s Collier Seminole State Park (which, like many parks and natural areas in Florida, charges an entrance fee—the Marsh Trail does not). Even though my wife and I have walked the trail many times, I’m always a little anxious about driving past the parking lot en-



The gator that drifted under the bridge at Freedom Park.



One of the Swallow-tailed Kites I was able to photograph at Ten Thousand Islands.

trance, which is poorly marked.

The last time we walked the trail was at the end of February 2022. I wanted to photograph whatever I could in terms of wildlife: Certainly alligators, but also dragonflies, butterflies, and birds. One bird I was especially hoping to photograph was the Swallow-tailed Kite, a beautiful small white hawk with a black swallow-like tail. I’d seen the bird many times in the past, usually in the middle of summer, and always when I was without a camera. Then, too, the kites I had seen had all been extremely agile and active, swooping and stooping across the sky so fast that I probably couldn’t have located one in the viewfinder, let alone focus on it.

This time was different. Getting out of the car, I spotted not one, not two, but six kites leisurely soaring above the parking lot. The kites are actually a tropical species that migrate north to breed, unusual behavior for a bird (according to the latest explanations, which is most migrants are northern species that move south). The birds had been reported for several weeks all over Collier County, arriving in numbers months before their usual season.

Photographs taken, my wife and I walked the crushed limestone trail to the observation tower, about a quarter-mile away. A number of White Peacock butterflies, relatives of the Red Admirals we’re familiar with in the Chicago area, nectared on the flowers, and various wading birds—the usual suspects of Snowy Egret, Tricolored Heron, and White Ibis—fed in the marshy areas along the trail. Suddenly I saw an unusual bird wading on the west side of the trail: a Wood Stork! Less than a century ago, 75,000 of these birds nested in Florida; today, scientists believe the population is around 7,500.

And then I spotted the obvious: A good-sized gator, maybe eight feet long, basking on the bank just a few feet from the stork. The two ignored each other. A Tricolored Heron and one or two Common Gallinules also occupied the pool. Like every basking gator I’ve seen, this one lay completely still. Were the



Gator and stork “sharing” a pond at Ten Thousand Islands.

birds aware of its presence? If they knew the reptile was there, did they sense it was harmless at the moment?

Not much further ahead, the observation tower, with a handicap ramp going to the first level, stood on the trail. We walked to the top: What a difference a few feet and shade made in comfort. Even when the thermometer reports a temperature in the low 70s, the Florida sun beats down on the skin like a hot iron. In the tower’s shade, a cooling breeze was welcome relief from the heat and humidity on the ground, and the tower offered a spectacular view of the shallow water in the expanse before us. Flocks of American Coots, joined by Common Gallinules, fed, fattening up before flying north in a few weeks. Another northern species, Blue-winged Teal, dabbled in the water in pairs and small flocks. Great Egrets, Glossy Ibises, and Greater Yellow-legs (a species of sandpiper) waded in the shallows. A pair of White Pelicans, a bird that migrates through Illinois on its way to its breeding grounds in the western United States and Canada, sailed past the tower. The only reptile I spotted was a Florida Softshell drifting just beneath the surface of the tannin-stained waters.

The “reliable” gator-spot was a few hundred yards down the trail from the tower. My wife and I hoped to spot Roseate Spoonbills roosting among the mangroves as we had in the past. This time the pink birds with the spoon-like bills eluded us, although I did managed to photograph a White-eyed Vireo, a small olive green relative of the Warbling and Red-eyed Vireos we have in Chicago. Then we came to “The Pool.” I can’t recall a single time we’ve walked this far down the Marsh Trail and haven’t seen a 10- to 12-foot-long gator basking on its banks. Today was no different. The gator just lay there, which is probably not a bad thing, and I took a few photos, no different, really, from the dozen or two I’ve taken in the past.

The real surprises occurred on our walk back to the car. We saw two smaller gators, barely submerged, on the east side of the trail. All the gators we had seen in the past, including—until these two—today, had been basking on the west side of the trail. Further up the trail, the gator and the Wood Stork still shared the same pool where we had seen them an hour earlier. Four gators, although not an awe-inspiring number, were a good morning.

The parts of CREW Bird Rookery Swamp that I’ve explored contrast with the Marsh Trail in several respects. The Swamp is



I see an alligator at this same spot nearly every time I visit the Ten Thousand Islands Marsh Trail.

northeast of Naples, west off Immokalee Road on Shady Hollow Road (I’m learning that a lot of place names in Florida seem incongruous with the image of Florida—“Autumn Woods” is a gated community a short distance from mine; the name evokes New England in October, but Naples in October is hot and green). While Marsh Trail passes through open mangrove and marsh, not too dissimilar in appearance to many marshes around Chicago, the trail at the Swamp, some of it boardwalk before becoming limestone, traverses a true swamp of Bald Cypress, Red Maple, and other trees, Strangler Fig spiraling around some of them. The trail itself circumnavigates the main part of the site for twelve miles, which means I walk a mile in on my visits and then turn around. Birds are not lacking in the Swamp: Four or five species of wading birds, along with Double-crested Cormorants, stalk the pond by the gravel parking lot at the entrance. Red-shouldered Hawks abound at the site, as do Pileated Woodpeckers, the largest living species of woodpecker in the United States (although these are more often heard than seen).

A shallow drainage ditch parallels the trail leading to the trailhead off the main trail. Palmettos and a variety of wildflowers, including White Beggartick, looking like a miniature daisy, dark pink Caesar Weed, and Bluemink, a relative of the familiar garden Ageratum, attract butterflies such as the White Peacock, the Queen (a relative of the Monarch), and several skippers that I couldn’t identify. I’ve seen Red-bellied Turtles in the ditch, along with smaller alligators. The last time I was there, the winter dry season had been especially dry (fire is real



This sign is posted at the start of the Bird Rookery Swamp trail. Similar signs are posted along most Florida trails through wetlands.

threat in this part of Florida) and reptiles of any kind were absent from the ditch.

Once on the trail, I began scouting for snakes and gators, paying especial attention to sunlit spots. Spanish moss dripped from many of the trees, along with a variety of bromeliads (I would have to visit in summer to see these in bloom); Water Lettuce dotted the surface of the pools on either side of the trail, and Lanceleaf Arrowhead and Swamp Crinum, whose delicate, star-shaped flower always attracts my attention, flourished in the shallow and moist areas. The birds did not disappoint me, but I walked a good distance before I spotted my first gator: a five- or six-foot long individual lying on the bank on the north side of the trail. Photographing this one presented a minor obstacle: I would have to balance on a log to get my photographs. Now, I've never been agile or enjoyed a good sense of balance. Rising from a low chair challenges me these days. So, in order to brace myself, I stuck my right foot into the water. The water only rose to my ankle—big deal!—and I took my photos. Turning to my left, I spotted a second gator, about the same size as the first. Photographing this one would challenge my balance even more. What the heck: I stuck my other foot into the water and took my photos.

Happy with what I had seen, I continued on the path. I passed a section of trail where, the first time my wife and I visited the spot, a gator lay across the trail. My wife decided that would be a good time to turn around and return to the car. I wondered what advice would suffice for someone on the other side of the gator and who wanted to return to the parking lot? Step over the gator? Today, though there was no gator.

My goal this time was to explore a large lake about a mile from the parking lot, I had seen the lake driving into the area: Large houses were being built on its south shore, but I could tell from maps the Swamp trail abutted it on the north. What I couldn't tell from maps was that the lake was entirely private property, fenced off with a high barbed wire fence. Not a single decent observation point! I scanned what I could see with my binoculars and saw—nothing. A flat, glaring, 60-acre expanse of water.

Disappointed, I turned around and headed the mile to my car. The heat and humidity were getting to me, despite the shade, and I discovered I had finished the water I had brought with me. Fortunately, a bench sat about a half-mile further along the trail, and when I reached it, I sat down to rest—until I heard a screech directly above me. A Red-shouldered Hawk was perched five or six feet above me, studying me as I studied it. Then I heard an even louder screech and turning around, saw another hawk flying straight toward me. Were they defending a nest? I didn't want anything to do with those talons!

The second hawk, however, had something else on its mind: It flew behind the first hawk, a female, and mated with her, long enough for me to take a photo or two, but not much longer (please don't accuse me of violating their privacy or being perverse). Finished, the two birds perched together for as long as I remained on the bench.

The bench faced a pool of black water in which a small flock of White Ibises, Florida's answer to the Canada Goose in terms



The big gator on the trail at Bird Rookery Swamp.

of being a golf course bird, waded. A squawk broke the silence and not one but two Black-crowned Night Herons flew out of the tangle of trees and perched a few yards in front of me. The herons do nest in the Chicago area—Lincoln Park Zoo has a few in season—but I had never been so close to any in my life. Naturally, I took photos.

Rested, I continued back to the car. A couple walking the opposite way warned me: “Be careful. There’s an alligator along the trail.” I said, “I saw it walking in; there was another close by.” I was wrong. Lying like a fallen log along the trail, about where my wife and I spotted the first one several years earlier that encouraged our turning back, was one of the largest wild alligators I’ve ever seen. I could have petted it, but then, what would that say about the college I attended? The gator’s behavior was totally chilled. It just lay there. How many people had already walked and bicycled past it? Of all the gators I had seen, this one was the most difficult to photograph because I almost couldn’t get far enough away to compose a shot!

The Swamp still had more gators in store for me. At the parking lot, a couple from upstate New York to whom I had spoken (two of the few people I’ve ever met in Florida who actually were interested in birds and other wildlife beyond the tourist novelty) pointed out an immature night heron perched in the trees overhanging the parking lot pond. While I photographed the heron, an immature Wood Stork flew in, and I photographed it, too. I spotted an unusually large turtle (probably a Peninsula Cooter) basking on the bank opposite me, and right below it in the black water a gator drifted. Once again I was reminded how indifferent to alligators other wildlife appears to be if the gator is visible. Driving back to the main road, I spotted at least three more gators on the south-facing banks of the drainage canal.

One can’t dispute the value of alligators to Florida’s tourist economy. I wasn’t too surprised when I read the abstract of Rosentblatt et. al. (2021) in the *Bulletin of the Chicago Herpetological Society* (February 2022) estimating the gross value of wild crocodilians in South America as between \$422.00 USD and \$566.67 USD per individual crocodilian. A few years ago my wife and I took a pontoon ride at Myakka River State Park just east of Sarasota. Now it costs six dollars a car to get into the park and \$22 per adult to ride on the pontoon (which is operated by a concessionaire). The ride lasted about 45 minutes.

The boat was full, and the operator gave a rather sensational (sensationalized, really) account of the hundreds of alligators in the otherwise ordinary looking lake, also warning us about the “snake-infested” islands and shoreline (we saw no snakes). Florida has 175 sites it designates “state parks” (many aren’t that different from our Chicagoland forest preserves), and although I doubt alligators inhabit every one of them, wildlife tourism, including the gators, is a big draw at most of them. Then, too, are the airboat operators, kayak and canoe tours, and other commercial ventures utilizing Florida’s remaining natural areas. The Environmental Defense Fund estimates that wildlife tourism (which includes hunting and recreational fishing, as well as wildlife watching, which represents 56% of the total)

contributes \$8 billion a year to the Florida economy.

I recognize the danger alligators (and venomous snakes) pose to the reckless and ignorant. I can’t help but feel, though, that the danger is exaggerated to add to the “glamour” and exotic novelty of sighting one of these animals. The Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission reports 105 alligator bites on people over the past ten years; 29 of these were considered minor, and four were fatal. The Florida Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles reported 304 hit-and-run fatalities in 2021 alone; the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention reports 3,041 gun-related deaths in the same year. Matter of perspective.

NEW CHS MEMBERS THIS MONTH

Josh Dean

Advertisements

For sale: **highest quality frozen rodents**. I have been raising rodents for over 30 years and can supply you with the highest quality mice available in the U.S. These are always exceptionally clean and healthy with no urine odor or mixed in bedding. I feed these to my own reptile collection exclusively and so make sure they are the best available. All rodents are produced from my personal breeding colony and are fed exceptional high protein, low fat rodent diets; no dog food is ever used. Additionally, all mice are flash frozen and are separate in the bag, not frozen together. I also have ultra low shipping prices to most areas of the U.S. and can beat others shipping prices considerably. I specialize in the smaller mice sizes and currently have the following four sizes available: Small pink mice (1 day old—1 gm) , \$25 /100; Large pink mice (4 to 5 days old—2 to 3 gm), \$27.50 /100; Small fuzzy mice (7 to 8 days old—5 to 6 gm), \$30/100; Large fuzzy mice / hoppers (10 to 12 days old—8 to 10 gm), \$35/100 Contact Kelly Haller at 785-224-7291 or by e-mail at kelhal56@hotmail.com

Line ads in this publication are run free for CHS members —\$2 per line for nonmembers. Any ad may be refused at the discretion of the Editor. Submit ads to mdloogatch@chicagoherp.org.

UPCOMING MEETINGS

Please try to join us *in person* or online for the next meeting of the Chicago Herpetological Society, to be held at 7:30 P.M., Wednesday, July 27, at the Peggy Notebaert Nature Museum, Cannon Drive and Fullerton Parkway, in Chicago. **David Lazcano**, who recently retired from his position as professor of biology at the Autonomous University of Nuevo León in San Nicolás de los Garza, Mexico, will speak about ongoing projects and activities in the herpetology lab at the university. He was head of the *Laboratorio de Herpetología* from 1993 through 2022, teaching and providing assistance in both undergraduate and graduate programs. [David had been scheduled to speak in May, but had to postpone his talk.] David and his students and colleagues have contributed many articles to the CHS *Bulletin* over the past 30 years.

At the August 31 meeting **Dr. Christopher Kellner**, professor of biological sciences at Arkansas Tech University, will speak about his ongoing research on prairie lizards. His program is entitled “Prairie Lizards in Thermally Distinct Habitats Almost Never Conform to Expectations.” Chris’s research focus has been mostly on avian ecology, management and conservation. However, as a child, he developed a passion for reptiles and amphibians, and in 2012 he rekindled that passion by initiating a comparative study on prairie lizards that occupy thermally different habitats.

Please check the CHS website or Facebook page each month for information on the program. Information about attending a Zoom webinar can be found here:

<[https://support.zoom.us/hc/en-us/articles/115004954946-Joining-and-participating-in-a-webinar-attendee->](https://support.zoom.us/hc/en-us/articles/115004954946-Joining-and-participating-in-a-webinar-attendee-)


Board of Directors Meeting

Are you interested in how the decisions are made that determine how the Chicago Herpetological Society runs? And would you like to have input into those decisions? The next board meeting will be held online. If you wish to take part, please email: jarcher@chicagoherp.org.

REMINDER

When you shop AmazonSmile and select the Chicago Herpetological Society as your charity, Amazon will make a donation to the CHS. <<https://smile.amazon.com/>>

THE ADVENTURES OF SPOT



Periodicals Postage
Paid at Chicago IL

CHICAGO HERPETOLOGICAL SOCIETY

Affiliated with the Chicago Academy of Sciences

2430 North Cannon Drive • Chicago, Illinois 60614
